



FREEDOM OF INFORMATION  
AND  
PRIVACY ACTS

SUBJECT Mary Davison

FILE NUMBER hq163-12644

SECTION NUMBER 1



FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

The following documents appearing in FBI files have been reviewed under the provisions of The Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) (Title 5, United States Code, Section 552); Privacy Act of 1974 (PA) (Title 5, United States Code, Section 552a); and/or Litigation.

FOIA/PA

☐ Litigation

☐ Executive Order Applied

Requester:

Subject:

Computer or Case Identification Number:

Title of Case:

\* File

### Serials Reviewed:

~~THIS FILE HAS BEEN DETERMINED NOT TO WARRANT REVIEW BY THE~~ Section

DOCUMENT CLASSIFICATION UNIT. DATE 11 9 10

163-HQ-12644 only (EXCEPT FOR SEARCH SLIPS BEHIND SERIAL)

Release Location: \*File

Section

FOIA/PA

☐ Litigation

☐ Executive Order Applied

Requester:

**Subject:**

Computer or Case Identification Number:

Title of Case:

\* File

## Serials Reviewed:

Section

Release Location: \*File

Section

FOIA/PA

☐ Litigation

☐ Executive Order Applied

Requester:

Subject:

Computer or Case Identification Number:

Title of Case:

**\*File**

### Serials Reviewed:

Section

Release Location \*File

Section

**\*Indicate if FBIHQ or Field Office File Number.**

**(This Form Is To Be Maintained As The Top Serial Of The File, But Not Serialized.)**

Federal Bureau of Investigation  
Records Branch

, 19\_\_

☐ Name Searching Unit - Room 6527  
☒ Service Unit - Room 6524  
☒ Forward to File Review  
☐ Attention [Redacted]  
☐ Return to [Redacted] Supervisor Room Ext.

b7C

## Type of References Requested:

- ☐ Regular Request (Analytical Search)  
☒ All References (Subversive & Nonsubversive)  
☐ Subversive References Only  
☐ Nonsubversive References Only  
☐ Main \_\_\_\_\_ References Only

## Type of Search Requested:

- ☒ Restricted to Locality of Fla.  
☐ Exact Name Only (On the Nose)  
☐ Buildup ☐ Variations

Subject Mary M. Danion  
 Birthdate & Place \_\_\_\_\_  
 Address \_\_\_\_\_

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
 HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  
 DATE 4/11/00 BY SP-1 JLD

## Localities

Re 774 Date 10/8 Searcher Initials 700

Prod. 314 FILE NUMBER OCT 8 SE 1965

I 157-1232  
I 62-5256-20  
I 62-128020-1  
I 63-8413-4 I I  
I 94-38032-92, 93, 94  
I 100-413774-1, 2  
I 100-423395-628, PH 30  
I 100-434840-14, 17, 22 I  
I 105-8984-5 OCT 13 1965  
I 105-124952-25X3  
I 105-127250-17, PH 8-11  
I 157-6-2-559  
I 157-6-29-558, encl. P# 26  
I 157-9-29-1  
I 157-304-141 I I  
I 157-517-20, 23, 37  
I 157-758-4, 15, PH 2, 3, 5, 9, 10, 11, 13, 39, 42, 47, 95, 111  
I 157-15, encl. P# 1, 13, 21, 34, 40, encl. P# 2

NUMEROUS REFERENCE

SEARCH SLIP

Subj: Mary M. Davison

Supervisor \_\_\_\_\_ Room \_\_\_\_\_

R# (276) Date 10/8 Searcher Initial 200

Prod. 33L OCT 8 1960

FILE NUMBER

SERIAL

|              |   |                    |              |
|--------------|---|--------------------|--------------|
| <del>I</del> | <del>157-758-45, 54, 57, 59,</del>            | <del>I</del>       | <del>I</del> |
| <del>I</del> | <del>60, P# 3, 6, 8, 11, 13, 14-19, 26,</del> |                    |              |
|              | <del>39, 43, 49, 50, 53, 54, 56, 58-60,</del> |                    |              |
|              | <del>68-70, 73, 74, 76, 77, 79, 81, 84,</del> |                    |              |
|              | <del>86, I 64, I 65, I 69, I 72,</del>        |                    |              |
| <del>I</del> | <del>73, I 74, I 77,</del>                    |                    |              |
| <del>I</del> | <del>157-125-1, 2</del>                       |                    |              |
| <del>I</del> | <del>157-2828-1</del>                         |                    |              |
|              | Mary McPherson Davison (Bu)                   |                    |              |
| <del>I</del> | <del>62-109119-136, P# 5</del>                |                    |              |
|              | Mary M. Philomena Davison (aka)               |                    |              |
|              | NR  |                    |              |
|              | Mary Davison                                  |                    |              |
| <del>I</del> | <del>105-66233-334, P# 5</del>                |                    |              |
| <del>I</del> | <del>105-70374-2020</del>                     |                    |              |
| <del>I</del> | <del>105-124952-6, 16</del>                   |                    |              |
| <del>I</del> | <del>157-6-29-477</del>                       |                    |              |
| <del>I</del> | <del>157-758-1, 3, 5, 47, 49, 52</del>        | <del>I</del>       | <del>I</del> |
| <del>I</del> | <del>157-920-17</del>                         |                    |              |
| <del>I</del> | <del>157-1240-1, 2, 3</del>                   | <del>I</del>       | <del>I</del> |
| <del>I</del> | <del>157-3121-11</del>                        | <u>OCT 13 1960</u> |              |
| <del>I</del> | Mary Anne Davison (aka)                       |                    |              |
| <del>I</del> | <del>62-98984-12</del>                        | <del>-2-</del>     |              |

NUMEROUS REFERENCE

## SEARCH SLIP

Subj: Mary M. Davison

Supervisor \_\_\_\_\_ Room \_\_\_\_\_

R# 276 Date 10/8 Searcher Initial 200

Prod. 7L OCT 2 1964

FILE NUMBER

SERIAL

Mary M. Davidson (var.)

1 POC - 153

|          |            |
|----------|------------|
| <u>I</u> | 62-5-11144 |
|----------|------------|

T 65-65842-30

100-4348040-3, 10

I 157. 758-31. 73

Mary Davidson (var.)

I 157-758-7

Mary Mc Philomy (aka)

55

Inez Anne McPherson aka

22

Mary M. Philomey (aka.)

NR

OCT 13 1964

Federal Bureau of Investigation  
Records Branch

\_\_\_\_\_, 19\_\_\_\_

☐ Name Searching Unit - Room 6527  
☐ Service Unit - Room 6524  
☐ Forward to File Review  
☐ Attention \_\_\_\_\_  
☐ Return to \_\_\_\_\_  
                     Supervisor      Room      Ext.

## Type of References Requested:

☐ Regular Request (Analytical Search)  
☒ All References (Subversive & Nonsubversive)  
☐ Subversive References Only  
☐ Nonsubversive References Only  
☐ Main \_\_\_\_\_ References Only

## Type of Search Requested:

☐ Restricted to Locality of \_\_\_\_\_  
☐ Exact Name Only (On the Nose)  
☐ Buildup      ☐ Variations

Subject Council for Statehood  
 Birthdate & Place \_\_\_\_\_  
 Address \_\_\_\_\_

Localities \_\_\_\_\_

R# 270 Date 10/8 Searcher 200055  
 Prod. 22 Initials 200055

FILE NUMBER      SERIAL

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED

HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

DATE 11/14/01 BY SP3 ALM/aj

OCT 13 1965

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

# Memorandum

OCT 7 1965

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

DATE: 9/30/65

FROM : LEGAT, TOKYO (163-837) (P)

SUBJECT: MARY M. DAVISON  
COUNCIL FOR STATEHOOD  
FPC

Enclosed are two copies of an "Open Letter No. 54" bearing captioned name as author, which was mailed to "Information Officer, Commander U.S. Forces Japan, APO 925, San Francisco, California," and postmarked "Fort Lauderdale, Florida, August 27, 1965."

Cdr. WILLIAM MC KINLEY, J-2, US Forces, Japan, has asked that a check be made of Bufiles and indices of MM Office for any derogatory information.

It will be appreciated if Tokyo Office can be advised of result.

5 - Bureau (Encls. 2)  
(1 - Foreign Liaison)  
(2 - Miami)  
1 - Tokyo  
HLC:afb  
(6)

ENCLOSURE

163-12644-1

14 OCT 1965

55 OCT 15 1965

10/5/65  
HCF/PAW

b7C

TO THE GOVERNORS AND LEGISLATORS  
OF THE SOVEREIGN STATES

Gentlemen:

With the war in Viet Nam rapidly "escalating" I feel somebody should find out what is going on and force the truth out of the Council on Foreign Relations government.

The informed American now knows that the C.F.R. is in full control of the U.S. government - and he knows also that the C.F.R. planned and set up the United Nations. They run both. And try to conceal these facts from the people.

Once again - as in Korea - they have us involved in a United Nations War. The President has finally made the announcement that we are at war in Viet Nam because we are compelled under the terms of the SEATO treaty to go to the aid of any member nation which asks our aid to fight "communists." This much he told us about our "solemn commitment" under the SEATO treaty.

There are other things about the SEATO treaty agreement he did not tell us. The untold story is most important. That is why we were never told before that somewhere along the glory road of lies and propaganda emanating from "our" public servants - the SEATO treaty had been invoked. And the instant that treaty was invoked, the American forces in Viet Nam came under the direction - at the very top - of the Russian head of the U.N. "Security" forces - Vladimir Suslov.

But first, pertinent facts about the SEATO treaty. There are eight members of SEATO: United States, England, France, Thailand, New Zealand, Australia, the Philippines and South Viet Nam. The treaty - Article Three - provides that a threat against the security of one is a threat to the security of all, although no member may intervene except at the invitation or with the consent of the government concerned.

This means that any member of SEATO can charge "communist" aggression and involve us in that country's war. Our fellow-members in SEATO are free to watch from the sidelines - or even help the enemy - as our U.N. "allies" did in Korea and are doing in Viet Nam now. Furthermore, it is a foregone conclusion that when "aid" is requested it will be requested of the United States because we have the best army, the best equipment and a driving compulsion to spend money. So - the Diems asked Mr. Eisenhower to send "aid." Nothing was said about SEATO then. "We" were going to send hundreds of millions of dollars in military aid - therefore "we" sent military men to Viet Nam to advise the Viet government how to spend it - and get more - much more.

Then, "our" military men, under the field direction of three top-grade C.F.R. masterminds - Harriman, Lodge and Maxwell Taylor, were delegated to "advise." Later to instruct and finally, to fight a war.

163-12644-1  
ENCLOSURE



But all this time nothing was said about SEATO and "our solemn commitments." Finally, the spokesmen for the administration ran out of "explanations" and the President admitted "we" had invoked the SEATO treaty.

Now, the instant they invoked the treaty the whole thing became a United Nations war. SEATO is what the U.N. Charter describes as a "Regional Agency," a front for the United Nations. Here - from the United Nations Charter - is how the U.N., when expedient, works through the front.

Article 53 of the Charter provides:

"The Security Council shall, where appropriate, utilize such regional arrangements or AGENCIES, for enforcement action under its authority. But NO ENFORCEMENT ACTION shall be taken under regional arrangements or BY REGIONAL AGENCIES without the authorization of the Security Council."

Article 53 means everything it says - in the broadest possible interpretation of the Article. The U.N. shall "utilize these regional agencies for "enforcement action" (war) and no such action may be taken unless the U.N. Security Council "authorizes" it.

Now we know WHO ordered us into the Viet Nam war. Then we have Article 54 which tells us who is running the war from U.N. headquarters, just as the Russian Zinchenko ran the Korean War. Article 54:

"the Security Council shall, at all times, be kept fully informed of activities undertaken OR IN CONTEMPLATION under regional arrangements or by regional agencies."

And in case there is any question whether SEATO will conform to this provision of the Charter, let us quote from Article Four of the SEATO treaty -

"Measures taken under this paragraph (enforcement-ed.) shall be immediately reported to the Security Council of the United Nations."

The Security Council of the United Nations is the War Department of the United Nations and its COMMANDER-IN-CHIEF is the Russian, Vladimir Suslov.

You heard (read) it right. "We" are fighting "communists" in Viet Nam and "our" commanders in the field are reporting "immediately" to the Russian Commander of the U.N. "Security" affairs. "we" did the same thing during the Korean War when the Russian Zinchenko was head of "Security" in the U.N. - and relayed to the "communist" Chinese all the plans of, and orders to, General MacArthur.

At that time - as "we" learned later - the United Nations CHAIRMAN OF THE MILITARY STAFF COMMITTEE - ON LEAVE OF ABSENCE - was commanding the Red Chinese as they slaughtered OUR soldiers. American newsmen recognized him and the truth came out. Incredible?

Fantastic? Read those sections of the U.N. Charter and SEATO treaty again.

OUR GREAT LEADERS who have consistently lied to us - and deceived us about the situation in Viet Nam, are preparing for a great performance in the "People's Congress." They WILL NOT EXPLAIN SEATO and the Russian direction of the Viet Nam war from U.N. headquarters.

There is NO USE in writing anybody in Washington - there is NO POINT in marching on Washington. But we might begin thinking about marching on our State capitols. Not that the Governors of the States who are beneficiaries of magnificent favors from the Rockefeller Brothers Fund - due to their membership in the 1313 Rockefeller bribery apparatus known as the Governor's Conference - are likely to forget past favors. But many of the State representatives have become alarmed - some are actually fed up with Washington treason - and ENOUGH PEOPLE can persuade the Governor that it is expedient to convene a special session of the Legislature to inquire into the situation.

The same thing that goes on now between the commanders in the field in Viet Nam and Mr. Suslov in U.N. headquarters prevailed during the Korean War. The State Department, then completely under the domination of C.F.R. AS ALL DEPARTMENTS ARE NOW - received the reports which, by U.N. LAW and treaty, were to be sent to the Russian, Zinchenko. And Zinchenko notified the Red Chinese of all MacArthur's orders, before MacArthur received them. We did not know about this during the Korean War. There was excuse for us. WE DO KNOW IT NOW. THERE CAN BE NO EXCUSE.

There can be NO DENIAL of the facts. It is all spelled out in the double-talk of the U.N. Charter. The Russian Suslov is in charge of the Viet Nam war - and "we" are fighting "communists." Trygvie Lie wrote a book - In the Cause of Peace. He explained the U.N. and the "Security Council" - the War Department.

Sincerely,

Mary M. Davison  
X COUNCIL FOR STATEHOOD  
P. O. Box 1131  
North Miami, Florida

*Miami*

10-13-65

MARY DAVISON  
COUNCIL FOR STATEHOOD  
FPC

*File*

Rebulet 10-5-65.

Disregard lead set out in Bulet 10-5-65. Matter will  
be handled at Bureau.

10-14-65

*Tokyo*

*File*

MARY M. DAVESON  
COUNCIL FOR STATEHOOD  
FPC

Bufile 163-12844

Reurlet 9-30-65, your file 163-837.

Attached reports were previously disseminated to  
military agencies at Headquarters level.

No further inquiry being conducted.

ENC. 1

TO THE GOVERNORS AND LEGISLATORS  
OF THE SOVEREIGN STATES

Gentlemen:

With the war in Viet Nam rapidly "escalating" I feel somebody should find out what is going on and force the truth out of the Council on Foreign Relations government.

The informed American now knows that the C.F.R. is in full control of the U.S. government - and he knows also that the C.F.R. planned and set up the United Nations. They run both. And try to conceal these facts from the people.

Once again - as in Korea - they have us involved in a United Nations War. The President has finally made the announcement that we are at war in Viet Nam because we are compelled under the terms of the SEATO treaty to go to the aid of any member nation which asks our aid to fight "communists." This much he told us about our "solemn commitment" under the SEATO treaty.

There are other things about the SEATO treaty agreement he did not tell us. The untold story is most important. That is why we were never told before that somewhere along the glory road of lies and propaganda emanating from "our" public servants - the SEATO treaty had been invoked. And the instant that treaty was invoked, the American forces in Viet Nam came under the direction - at the very top - of the Russian head of the U.N. "Security" forces - Vladimir Suslov.

But first, pertinent facts about the SEATO treaty. There are eight members of SEATO: United States, England, France, Thailand, New Zealand, Australia, the Phillipines and South Viet Nam. The treaty - Article Three - provides that a threat against the security of one is a threat to the security of all, although no member may intervene except at the invitation or with the consent of the government concerned.

This means that any member of SEATO can charge "communist" aggression and involve us in that country's war. Our fellow-members in SEATO are free to watch from the sidelines - or even help the enemy - as our U.N. "allies" did in Korea and are doing in Viet Nam now. Furthermore, it is a foregone conclusion that when "aid" is requested it will be requested of the United States because we have the best army, the best equipment and a driving compulsion to spend money. So - the Diems asked Mr. Eisenhower to send "aid." Nothing was said about SEATO then. "We" were going to send hundreds of millions of dollars in military aid - therefore "we" sent military men to Viet Nam to advise the Viet government how to spend it - and get more - much more.

Then, "our" military men, under the field direction of three top-grade C.F.R. masterminds - Harriman, ~~Robert A. Taft~~ Taylor, were delegated to "advise." Later to instruct and finally, to fight a war

11 OCT 18 1965

OCT 25 1965

Internal Security

Rec'd from Major General Carl E. Turner, 1st Cavalry Division, Fort Benning, Ga. 10/25/65. This is a copy of the letterhead memorandum (LHM) dated 10/25/65, signed by General Turner, and is being furnished to you for your information.

4

ma 72

(ad pg 2)

EX-117

REC 1 163 - 12644 - 2

1966-10-25

But all this time . . . thing was said about SEATO and "our solemn commitments." Finally, the spokesmen for the administration ran out of "explanations" and the President admitted "we" had invoked the SEATO treaty.

Now, the instant they invoked the treaty the whole thing became a United Nations war. SEATO is what the U.N. Charter describes as a "Regional Agency," a front for the United Nations. Here - from the United Nations Charter - is how the U.N., when expedient, works through the front.

Article 53 of the Charter provides:

"The Security Council shall, where appropriate, utilize such regional arrangements or AGENCIES, for enforcement action under its authority. But NO ENFORCEMENT ACTION shall be taken under regional arrangements or BY REGIONAL AGENCIES without the authorization of the Security Council."

Article 53 means everything it says - in the broadest possible interpretation of the Article. The U.N. shall "utilize these regional agencies for "enforcement action" (war) and no such action may be taken unless the U.N. Security Council "authorizes" it.

Now we know WHO ordered us into the Viet Nam war. Then we have Article 54 which tells us who is running the war from U.N. headquarters, just as the Russian Zinchenko ran the Korean War. Article 54:

"the Security Council shall, at all times, be kept fully informed of activities undertaken OR IN CONTEMPORATION under regional arrangements or by regional agencies."

And in case there is any question whether SEATO will conform to this provision of the Charter, let us quote from Article Four of the SEATO treaty -

"Measures taken under this paragraph (enforcement-ed.) shall be immediately reported to the Security Council of the United Nations."

The Security Council of the United Nations is the War Department of the United Nations and its COMMANDER-IN-CHIEF is the Russian, Vladimir Suslov

You heard (read) it right. "We" are fighting "communists" in Viet Nam and "our" commanders in the field are reporting "immediately" to the Russian Commander of the U.N. "Security" affairs. "We" did the same thing during the Korean War when the Russian Zinchenko was head of "Security" in the U.N. - and relayed to the "communist" Chinese all the plans of, and orders to, General MacArthur.

At that time - as "we" learned later - the United Nations CHAIRMAN OF THE MILITARY STAFF COMMITTEE - ON LEAVE OF ABSENCE - was commanding the Red Chinese as they slaughtered OUR soldiers. American newsmen recognized him and the truth came out. Incredible?

Fantastic? Read those sections of the U.N. Charter and SEATO treaty again.

OUR GREAT LEADERS who have consistently lied to us - and deceived us about the situation in Viet Nam, are preparing for a great performance in the "People's Congress." They WILL NOT EXPLAIN SEATO and the Russian direction of the Viet Nam war from U.N. headquarters.

There is NO USE in writing anybody in Washington - there is NO POINT in marching on Washington. But we might begin thinking about marching on our State capitols. Not that the Governors of the States who are beneficiaries of magnificent favors from the Rockefeller Brothers Fund - due to their membership in the 1313 Rockefeller bribery apparatus known as the Governor's Conference - are likely to forget past favors. But many of the State representatives have become alarmed - some are actually fed up with Washington treason - and ENOUGH PEOPLE can persuade the Governor that it is expedient to convene a special session of the Legislature to inquire into the situation.

The same thing that goes on now between the commanders in the field in Viet Nam and Mr. Suslov in U.N. headquarters prevailed during the Korean War. The State Department, then completely under the domination of C.F.R. AS ALL DEPARTMENTS ARE NOW - received the reports which, by U.N. LAW and treaty, were to be sent to the Russian, Zinchenko. And Zinchenko notified the Red Chinese of all MacArthur's orders, before MacArthur received them. We did not know about this during the Korean War. There was excuse for us. WE DO KNOW IT NOW. THERE CAN BE NO EXCUSE.

There can be NO DENIAL of the facts. It is all spelled out in the double-talk of the U.N. Charter. The Russian Suslov is in charge of the Viet Nam war - and "we" are fighting "communists." Trygvie Lie wrote a book - In the Cause of Peace. He explained the U.N. and the "Security Council" - the War Department.

Sincerely,

  
Mary M. Davison

~~COUNCIL FOR STATEHOOD~~

~~P. O. Box 1131~~

~~North Miami, Florida~~

MARY M. DAVIS

*Handwritten mark*

*and not located  
by SEH*

*See notes  
in chrs. 7-9-11  
ANONYMOUS COMMUNICATIONS  
KEEP ENVELOPE ATTACHED*

ENCLOSURE ATTACHED 101  
ENCLOSURE  
ENCLOSURE ATTACHED

REC-47 ~~100-12674~~ 3

JUN 7 1971

NO Ack  
Sent Anonymous  
RHK

*S/R*

67 JUL 29 1971 F252



ENCLOSURE

163-12644-3

163-12644-3

Two  
THE SECOND REBELLION

SECOND PRINTING

1970

BY MARY M. DAVISON

Back History of the  
CFR Conspiracy

163-12644-3

## CHAPTER I

### THE BEGINNING OF A CONSPIRACY



American youth is in rebellion. This is good if properly directed. The Nation is in turmoil. Civil insurrection rends the land; economic collapse casts an ominous shadow; a state of perpetual war claims the lives of young men conscripted into military service in the far corners of the world. Nobody understands what is happening. Nobody seems to have any competence to identify the source of the impending disaster. Consequently, nothing is being done to halt or reverse it.

It may well be that a student rebellion is a first step in the direction of a corrective action. Certainly, the parents and grandparents of the rebellious students have shown themselves utterly incapable of managing the situation for which they are largely responsible. They are responsible to the extent that they have tolerated and sustained a thoroughly corrupt political, economic and academic structure which is now crumbling amidst death and destruction. At long last the students must face the fact that there really has been a "conspiracy" and that those who gave them assurances to the contrary were, consciously or unconsciously, lying to them.

The conspiracy we deal with now took form in another student rebellion which erupted and flared briefly in the early days of this century. The political and economic climate of that time was much the same as that which confronts us today. The rebels of the early era, however, had become *fully informed of the nature of the threat to their well-being and were able to identify the men responsible*. The enemy then was of the same economic status as the enemy of today; in fact, many of today's miscreants are the sons and grandsons of the objects of the student rebellion launched in 1905. The outcome of that rebellion was the realization on the part of the wealthiest men in the world *that well-educated Americans would pose a constant threat to their ill-gotten wealth and security and to escape that threat the system would have to be regulated within carefully policed bounds*.

#### The First Student Rebellion

On September 12, 1905, a group of Harvard students (mostly economics majors) met in New York City for discussion of the

prevailing national picture which held forth little promise for their futures.

The group was led by Upton Sinclair, and among its members were: Jack London, J. G. Phelps Stokes, Clarence Darrow, Morris Hillquit, Harry Laidler and others of similar persuasion.\*

They had concluded that about thirty American families had acquired, by hook or crook (mostly the latter), so much of the national wealth that there was very little to be shared by the balance of the population. The students organized themselves into *The Intercollegiate Socialist Society*, and agreed to scatter through other universities, establishing branches of the I. S. S. wherever possible.

They were amazingly successful and, in time, openly proclaimed a resolve to eliminate the "Wolves of Wall Street" and to reorganize the government so long controlled and dominated by the "Wolves." This was the base of the conspiracy which has continued an unbroken course from Upton Sinclair to Dean Rusk and Walt Rostow. Among the immediate successors to the original I. S. S. members were: John Dewey, George S. Counts, Walter Lippmann, Heywood Broun, W. E. B. Du Bois, Harold Rugg, Scott Nearing, Norman Thomas and others.

In 1921, the Society was ready for a long stride forward. They joined with various radical labor groups and changed their name to "*League for Industrial Democracy*." By this time, however, the students had lost their most effective leadership—thereby changing the course of history.

### Enter Edward M. House and "The Inquiry"

As events leading to our involvement in World War One took form, it became apparent to the Wolves of Wall Street that the young men who might generally be counted upon to provide protection for them and their interests would be leaving the country; and they became seriously alarmed. The socialists would neither go to war, nor would the radicals of the labor movement, most of whom would benefit from deferment. Something had to be done—and soon.

Thereupon the Wall Streeters began soliciting the leadership of the Intercollegiate Socialistic Society with a plan to have the young intellectuals "sell out" their compatriots and join up with

the moneyed warlords. The plan worked famously and the brains of the I. S. S. went into the profitable employment of their former enemies where they would work hereafter, for the establishment of a World Government to be ruled by the Robber Barons. This group of 150 were then organized by Col. Edward M. House into what he named simply—The Inquiry.

### If You Have a Lemon—Make a Lemonade

The first big project of the newly-formed Inquiry was the preparation of a draft for the Covenant of the League of Nations. Almost the entire membership of The Inquiry traveled to Paris for the Peace Conference which ended so disastrously for their international organization. Before leaving Paris, however, the Wall Streeters, mostly bankers of the Kuhn-Loeb, Warburg, Schiff, Morgan, Harriman groups, decided to reorganize in an effort to work more closely with, and better direct the affairs of the hired hands. They formed the Council on Foreign Relations which controls the government of the United States to this day. The British counterparts of the employing group organized their own group known then and now as the Royal Institute for International Affairs. Similar groups were organized subsequently in France, Italy and other European countries. This is the international conspiracy which seeks domination of the world through its control of the money of the world.

Before proceeding further with discussion of the Robber Barons, we must distinguish between them and the honest businessman and industrialist. The latter are those who have invested their own money and credit in productive enterprise. The Robber Barons are those who gamble and speculate in the earnings of the producers, labor and capital alike. This element of our unfortunate society is dominated by bankers in control of the Central (interlocking) Banking systems of the world who have enjoyed the privilege of creating—actually manufacturing—the currencies of the world.


The system against which youth now rebels is *their* system. They have looted all the nations of the world; collected the gold and *silver* stocks formerly used as backing or support for national currencies. Now the world faces disaster economically as a direct result of the manipulations of these warlords, and they must somehow disperse the young Americans who might learn.

\*See TURNING OF THE TIDES—Snow

## CHAPTER II

### MONEY MADE MYSTERIOUS

The apparent imminence of an economic collapse demands the immediate and most assiduous attention of those who will suffer most from its effects. If students fail in this responsibility they will make possible even more reprehensible raids on the public treasury, and on the fruits of their lifelong production, by the very criminals who have brought about the present situation. Probably, from the banker viewpoint, the most dangerous subject which might be offered for student consideration is the Federal Reserve (Central Banking) System. Nothing has been taught about this in the schools and universities. As students read about it here, they can clearly understand why no such instruction was given.



#### Bilateral Devastation Plan:

1. Change in Form of Government
2. Procure Economic Collapse Through

#### Central Banking System

3. Rockefeller's Educational "Funding"  
During the very years the Intercollegiate Socialist Society

was working out the details of the new socialist government they hoped to establish in the United States, the Wall Streeters were actively engaged in two other projects. The international banking houses of Europe in connivance with their American agents, particularly the House of Morgan and Kuhn, Loeb & Company, began a determined drive to bring the United States Treasury under the domination of their International Central Banking System.

The American people and the Congress were adamantly opposed to this. At that time people knew, because they had been taught in the public schools, that the Central Banking System has proved to be an evil institution which had drained European nations of blood and treasure. Central Banking had always led—as it does now in this country—to debt and war and eventual economic collapse.

### 3. Rockefeller's "Inconsequential Education"

The other project, mentioned above, was the establishment by John D. Rockefeller, 1st, of his General Education Board which was dedicated to the destruction of the public educational system, (Rockefeller General Education Board Letter No. 1). The youth of America were to be taught what Rockefeller and his Wall Street cohorts wanted them to believe. The General Education Board and the "limitless resources" of the various Rockefeller Foundations would further that project. The three parts of the conspiracy meshed smoothly from the beginning.

#### 1908: Warburg and Aldrich Fail

In the year 1908, a Mr. Paul Warburg, member of the family banking house of that name, came to the United States for the purpose of lending his vast experience to the movement for creation of a Central Bank in the United States. This movement was ably supported in the Senate of the United States by Nelson Aldrich, U.S. Senator and in-law of John D. Rockefeller. A bill for the establishment of the Central Bank had been introduced by Senator Aldrich, who, together with his senatorial cronies, denied vociferously that it was a Central Banking System, and pointed out that the bill provided for eight branch banks. However, the Senate refused to go along.

#### 1909: Consensus of Bankers — TAFT WILL VETO — Wait!

In 1909 a group of international bankers headed by Jacob H. Schiff, Warburg, Lehman, J. P. Morgan, Drexel, Biddle, Rockefeller and others such as Representative Carter Glass and Senator Aldrich, met secretly at Jekyll Island, Georgia. Their purpose was to create a Federal Reserve System for the United States and take our money system out of control of Congress and transfer it to a group of private individuals, the international bankers, and thus enable them to steal the wealth of our nation.

Now, those conspirators knew that even if they could get Congress to pass a Federal Reserve Act, that the then President, William Howard Taft, would veto it. So they waited until 1913, when they got their man, Woodrow Wilson, into the White House.

## 1913: Taft Out — Wilson In Federal Reserve Act Enters Through "Back Door"

Then, at 4 P.M. on December 23, when many members had gone home for Christmas, the "Federal Reserve Act" was passed—and within an hour on that day, President Wilson signed it into law—there was no debate, and ever since then the gang that owns the Fed, as it is now called, many of them foreigners whose names are unknown, have been looting us of all our wealth. The Federal Reserve Act must be repealed before we ever will have peace. Mr. Paul Warburg became chairman of the first Board of Governors of the newly created system. The Fed went into operation in June, 1914 and war broke out in Europe a few months later. The United States had embarked on a course of debt, war and eventual destruction. As this is written, December, 1970, our gold and silver stocks have been dissipated and sent to Europe; our dollar is to all intents and purposes worthless, and time is rapidly running out.

Many responsible and well informed men have condemned the Fed and its manipulation. Many good books have been written on the subject but these are not usually obtainable from the public or school libraries: *Money Creators*, Coogan; *Federal Reserve Conspiracy*, Mullins (Christian Education Association, 450 Chestnut Street, Union, New Jersey, price \$1.00); *The Federal Reserve Bank*, Kenan (H.S., Ocala, Florida, price \$1.00). Perhaps the best source of information is contained in the great speech delivered by the Chairman of the House of Representatives Banking and Currency Committee, as he read an impeachment of all Federal Reserve Board members into the record. The impeachment was never tried because Mr. McFadden died shortly afterward. Pertinent parts of this speech appear in Mr. H. S. Kenan's book listed above.

The capture of the monetary system of the United States by the international bankers had led to a situation described by Col. Arch E. Roberts, in his pamphlet "*Anatomy for Revolution*":

"It is appallingly clear that America the Beautiful has fallen into the hands of political madmen." These "political madmen" as represented by the "intellectual elite" in policy-making positions in the Washington Government—the hand-picked servants of the Robber Barons of New York—have engineered the destruction of the American monetary system and the impending crash of the whole structure of Constitutional Government. When the blow falls it is well that American youth understands what is planned for their future.

## The Biggest Debt You'll Ever Pay—And Keep On Paying Your Entire Life—Is One That You Didn't Incur . . . It's Your Money. Where Does It Go? Who Incurred It? Why Are You Paying?

First, laying aside this discussion for the moment at least, think about this: The working American produces more than man has ever produced before, during his working years, yet he will be—as has his father before him—in debt all his life; he will die in debt. SOMEBODY has skimmed off the fruits of his production. Who has robbed these two generations, and HOW was it done? It is to be hoped answers will be found in this publication.

## If You're Good At Puzzles . . . Try A Few Of These The Honorable Wright Patman's Conclusions Are In House Resolution No. 27, 1969

The Fed is a PRIVATELY OWNED institution and *nobody*, including Congress, has ever been able to discover just who does own it. In the fifty-four years of its existence it has never undergone a public audit and its officers deny that the government has any right to demand an audit. The Fed has been described many times as the most gigantic swindle of all time. It is quite likely you, dear reader, will agree with this viewpoint. The utterly fantastic operation of the Fed can be simply stated: The United States operates on a "debt standard." The Government goes into debt for every issue of currency. The Government puts currency into circulation when it pays its bills. This is what educators mean when they say debt is good for the economy; the more debt we owe, the more currency is in circulation. When the Government needs, say one billion dollars to pay its bills, the Treasury notifies the Bureau of Engraving to print up a billion dollars in Government Bonds. These are delivered to the Fed as promissory notes for a billion dollars in checkbook credit. The Fed then deposits the Bonds with the Comptroller of the Currency, and receives a billion dollars in Federal Reserve Notes. This should close the deal, but, instead, the Fed retains ownership of the Bonds while the Notes—in return for secured collateral—are distributed among member banks to be used as reserves against checkbook credit to borrowers, which loans may be several times the reserve amounts. The Fed continues to receive interest on its Bonds (currently about EIGHTEEN BILLION DOLLARS a year) until cashed in

at maturity dates. They are, thus, paid for (twice)—the first payment being the issuance of the Federal Reserve Notes. So there is an endless upward spiral of public debt, and a corresponding downward spiral of the purchasing power of the dollar. This, of course, is INFLATION.

If ever a swindle carried within itself the seeds of its own destruction — and ours — this one does. But the swindlers are not just going to move out, permitting us and what remains of our Government, to rebuild on the ruins. They are now engaged in setting up a global swindle to which our Government is already pledged. This will be the world currency of the United Nations operating through the United Nations International Monetary Fund and known currently as "Special Drawing Rights."

Briefly stated, the Special Drawing Rights scheme will provide for the pooling of all the world's gold. Ledger accounts will be set up crediting each member nation with an amount based upon its contribution. When one of the members runs short of cash he notifies the bookkeeper, who scans the accounts of the other members, and finding one not currently using, or needing, the amount standing to his credit, the bookkeeper simply transfers all or part of that credit to the needy nation. Of course, the scheme is too absurd to last long—but it will be a good show while it lasts.

While we await the drop of the economic sword, the rebelling youth of the nation would be well advised to turn their attention away from the schools and universities and give serious thought and DEEP STUDY to the monetary systems, both that operating as the Federal Reserve System, and that about to be impressed upon them as Special Drawing Rights. The very first thing to be considered and definitely DECIDED should be the question of the LEGALITY of the Fed. Was it an *unconstitutional* fraud from its inception? Remember, Article I, Section 8 of the Constitution of the United States placed the sole power to coin (print) money, in Congress and no power was ever delegated to Congress to transfer its power over our money to anybody else.

The Federal Reserve boys KNEW when they were buying Government Bonds with spurious NOTE ISSUES that they were engaging in fraudulent transactions; that they were swindling the government and the people of the United States. The problem facing the youth of America now is—are they going to permit those fraudulent claims to stand—or will they direct their revolu-

tionary activities *toward* breaking the economic stranglehold of the Robber Barons and Warlords of Wall Street and Park Avenue? Do we honestly OWE this debt? If not, let us insist upon its repudiation and insist at the same time that title to our property and our nation be cleared through legal action against the international looters, whoever they may be.

#### HOW BANKERS "BALANCE" THE BOOKS

We reproduce herewith a report appearing recently (October, 1970) under a Paris dateline and published in certain metropolitan newspapers.

There is nothing secret about it, which raises the question—Where are the Securities and Exchange Commission, the Banking and Currency Committee, the Federal Reserve System and the Department of Justice officials occupied while the big banks and bankers balance the books with other people's money?

How long can it last? *We wonder.*

## Some U.S. Banks Borrow At Up to 60 Per Cent?

By EDWIN DARBY

Miami Herald-Chicago Sun Times Wire

PARIS — How about paying interest of 50 or 60 per cent on a loan? You'd have to be out of your mind. But last week some of the biggest and most conservative American banks were paying that much to borrow dollars from European banks.

The squeeze was on. It was Sept. 30 and the banks had to dress up their books for the closing of the third-quarter accounting period. That is, the banks are supposed to have so much money on hand, normally in the form of deposits from savers, to cover the loans they make to borrowers. To meet the legal requirements and to look strong when the books are balanced, they sometimes grab money where they can.

TECHNICALLY what the American bankers were doing last week was persuading Europeans to put dollars

they owned on deposit. The persuader was higher and higher interest rates. With the U.S. banks bidding against each other in Paris, Frankfurt, London, the interest rate for the so-called Eurodollars started out in the morning at 9¼ per cent and jumped through most of the day by 5 per cent at a time — 15, 20, 25, on up to a top of 60 per cent.

The banks were dealing in millions of dollars, maybe \$100 million in all with about \$20 million going at the top price of 60 per cent.

Obviously any bank that borrowed \$1 million at 60 per cent for a year — \$600,000 in interest — would be headed straight for bankruptcy. This was a one-day operation. At 60 per cent, it costs a little more than \$1,600 to borrow a million for one day.

ALL THIS SAYS a number of things, for instance, it says that even the big banks can scramble as frantically as the rest of us to make

ends meet on the last day of the month.

It also says that the world is not as simple as pictured by Rep. Wright Patman of Texas, the chairman of the House Banking Committee, who holds that banks could charge 3 per cent on loans if they only wanted to. Once the Sept. 30 squeeze was over, U.S. banks were still paying up to 8½ per cent for one-month Eurodollars, or one percentage point higher than the current prime lending rate.

On the other side of the coin, the great one-day scramble for Eurodollars says relatively high interest rates will continue in the United States for some time to come. If money is going to be tight for the banks, it is going to be tight for the borrower.





### CHAPTER III

#### SPEECHES OF AUTHORITY

Remembering always that those who are now engaged in entrapping us in an even greater swindle than was the Federal Reserve System, now coming to an ignominious end, are the very same who have brought debt, war and bankruptcy to the nation, rebellion against these evil forces is long overdue. The youth of today must gain or lose the greatest prize in history. *There was, and there still is a conspiracy.* A few excerpts from the impeachment speech of Chairman of the House Banking and Currency Committee of many years experience, Hon. Louis T. McFadden, of Pennsylvania, should be enlightening and perhaps give stimulation to a proper course for the preservation of our people:

"Mr. Chairman, at the present session of Congress we have been dealing with emergency situations. We have been dealing with the effect of things rather than with the cause of things. In this particular discussion I shall deal with some of the causes that lead up to these proposals . . .

"Mr. Chairman, we have in this country one of the most corrupt institutions the world has ever known. I refer to the Federal Reserve Board and the Federal Reserve Banks . . . The depredations of the Federal Reserve Board and the Federal Reserve Banks acting together have cost this country enough

money to pay the national debt several times over. This evil institution has impoverished and ruined the people of the United States; (date, June 10, 1932—ed) has bankrupted itself and has practically bankrupted the government. It has done this through the maladministration of the law by the Federal Reserve Board, and through the corrupt practices of the moneyed vultures who control it.

"Some people think the Federal Reserve banks are United States Government institutions. They are private credit monopolies which prey upon the people of the United States for the benefit of themselves and their foreign customers; foreign and domestic speculators and swindlers, and rich and predatory money lenders . . . And there are those who maintain an international propaganda for the purpose of deceiving us and wheedling us into granting of new concessions which will permit them to cover up their past misdeeds and set again in motion their gigantic train of crime.

"Those bankers took money out of this country to finance Japan in a war against Russia. They created a reign of terror in that country with our money in order to help that war along . . . They financed Trotsky's mass meetings of rebellion and discontent in New York. They paid Trotsky's passage from New York to Russia so he might assist in the destruction of the Russian Empire. They fomented and instigated the Russian Revolution and they placed a large fund of American dollars at his disposal in one of their branch banks in Sweden.

"In the year 1912, when Woodrow Wilson was nominated, the Democratic platform . . . expressly stated: 'We are opposed to the Aldrich Plan for a Central Bank.' This was plain language. The men who ruled the Democratic Party then promised the people that if they should be returned to power there would be no central bank established here while they held the reins of government. Thirteen months later that promise was broken, and the Wilson Administration, under the tutelage of those sinister Wall Street figures who stood behind Colonel House, established here in our free country the worm-eaten monarchical institution of the 'King's Bank' . . . to shackle us from the cradle to the grave . . . The Federal Reserve Act . . . set up the antiquated 'two-name' paper which is the curse of this country, and which has wrecked every country which has ever given it scope . . .



"From the Atlantic to the Pacific our country has been ravaged and laid waste by the evil practices of the Federal Reserve Board and the Federal Reserve Banks and the interests which control them. At no time in our history has the general welfare of the people been at a lower level or the mind of the people so filled with despair. Recently, in one of our states 60,000 dwelling houses and farms were brought under the (auctioneer's—ed) hammer in a single day . . . 71,000 houses and farms in Oakland County, Michigan have been sold and their erstwhile owners dispossessed . . . The people who have been driven out are the wastage of the Federal Reserve Act. They are the victims of the dishonest and unscrupulous Federal Reserve Board and the Federal Reserve Banks . . .

"A few days before the Federal Reserve Act was passed Senator Elihu Root denounced the Federal Reserve Act as an outrage . . . and made the following prediction:

*'Long before we wake up from our dreams of prosperity through an inflated currency, our gold, which alone could have kept us from catastrophe, will have vanished and no rate of interest will tempt it to return.'*

"Mr. Chairman, if a German brewer ships beer to this country or anywhere else in the world and draws his bill for it in dollars, the Federal Reserve Banks will buy that bill and use it as collateral for Federal Reserve Notes. Thus they compel our Government to pay the German brewer for his beer. Why should the Federal Reserve Board and the Federal Reserve Banks finance the brewing industry of Germany, either in this way or as they do, by compelling small and fearful United States banks to take stock in the Isenbeck Brewery and in the German Bank for brewing industries?

"Mr. Chairman, if Dynamit Nobel of Germany wishes to sell dynamite to Japan to use in Manchuria or elsewhere, it can draw its bill against the Japanese customers in dollars and send that bill to the nefarious Open Discount Market in New York City where the Federal Reserve Board and the Federal Reserve Banks will buy it and use it as collateral for a new issue of Federal Reserve Notes, while at the same time the Federal Reserve Board will be helping Dynamit Nobel by stuffing its stock into

the United States banking system. Why should we send our representatives to the disarmament conference at Geneva while the Federal Reserve Board and the Federal Reserve banks are making our government pay Japanese debts to German munitions makers? . . .

"I believe the nations of the world would have settled down after the World War (One—ed) more peacefully if we had not had this standing temptation here—this pool of our bank depositors' money given to private interests and used by them in connection with illimitable drafts upon the public credit of the Government of the United States. The Federal Reserve Board invited the world to come in and carry away cash, credit, goods and everything else of value that was moveable . . . for the benefit of their *foreign* customers. The United States has been ransacked and pillaged. Our structures have been gutted and only the walls are left standing. While this crime was being perpetrated everything the world could rake up to sell us was brought in here at our own expense by the Federal Reserve Board and the Federal Reserve banks until our markets were swamped with un-needed and un-wanted imported goods, priced far above their value . . . and thus to kill our favorable balance of trade . . . (Are we now witnessing a replay of the tragedy of 1932—ed?)

"The man who knows or suspects that a crime has been committed and conceals or covers up that crime is an accessory to it. Mr. Speaker, it is a monstrous thing for this great Nation of people to have its destinies presided over by a traitorous government board acting in secret concert with international usurers. Every effort has been made by the Federal Reserve Board to conceal its power, but the truth is that the Federal Reserve Board has usurped the Government of the United States. It controls everything here and it controls our foreign policy. It makes and breaks governments at will . . . *What the National Government has permitted the Federal Reserve Board to steal from the people should now be restored to the people. The people have a valid claim against the Federal Reserve Board and the Federal Reserve banks. If that claim be enforced Americans will not be forced to stand in bread lines or to suffer and die of hunger in the streets . . . The Board and the banks owe the United States Government an immense sum of money. We ought to find out the exact amount of the people's claim, and we should collect this amount.*

"The greater part of our monetary stock has been shipped abroad to redeem Federal Reserve Notes and other gambling debts of the traitorous Fed. Why should American farmers and wage earners add millions of foreigners to their dependents? . . . Do you know why the tariff was raised? It was raised to shut out the flood of Federal Reserve goods pouring in here from every quarter of the globe — cheap goods produced by cheaply paid labor on unlimited supplies of money and credit sent out of this country by the dishonest and unscrupulous Federal Reserve Board and Federal Reserve banks . . .

"In April, 1932, the Fed outfit sent \$750,000 belonging to American bank depositors *in gold*, to Germany. A week later another \$300,000 *in gold* was shipped to Germany. About the middle of May another \$12,000,000 *in gold* was shipped to Germany by the Fed. Almost every week there is a shipment of gold to Germany."

More than thirty-five years, and several wars later we find ourselves confronted with a very similar situation to that facing the nation when Chairman McFadden was impelled to make his famous impeachment speech. Nothing has happened in the meantime to check or chasten the Fed. It has not been without its Congressional critics, however. The present Chairman of the House Banking and Currency Committee, Hon. Wright Patman, has fought the Fed consistently for many years. In fact, he recently appeared before a Committee of Congress charging that the Fed was moving to collect from the Government the sum of about FORTY BILLION dollars which had been paid once before.

Congressman Patman has spoken openly of the crimes of the Fed; a few excerpts from Mr. Patman's remarks to Congress clearly indicate that he shares to a great extent in the opinions of Mr. McFadden:

"Oh, it's cruel. It is highway robbery in broad daylight. This is a case of the majority needing protection against a minority, the bankers having all the privileges. The people who run the big (news—ed) papers are hooked up with them. (They OWN the big newspapers and that is why we are kept un-informed of their crimes against us—ed).


"THE FEDERAL RESERVE OUGHT TO BE IMPEACHED—they absolutely ought to be impeached. It

is a disgrace to let a few fellows representing Wall Street absolutely run this country and have more power than Congress does.

"The people do not understand that the (Fed—ed) banks manufacture money. They're manufacturing—creating money. The Federal Reserve banks create dollars out of the Government's credit when they issue Federal Reserve Notes."

From the above two statements the issue should be clear. The Federal Reserve System, with a strangle hold on the nation's economy and the power of life and death—peace and war—over the people, is a mighty questionable institution. It has reached the end of its tyrannical course as a "fiscal agent" of the United States government, and having pillaged and plundered the producers of the nation, is preparing to drag us into an international credit cartel where they will be free to continue the looting on a global scale.

It must be clear also, that the subject of money and the Federal Reserve System could not possibly be taught in the public schools. This is just one of a number of most important matters which must be concealed from young minds, and it is probably a defensive instinct now warning students that they have not had acceptable answers to the many ominous questions arising from events of the last thirty years, and which have finally driven so many to rebellion. All Americans are *entitled* to full information concerning the money system. That system disposes of their earnings throughout life and, for good or ill, they will be completely dependant upon it. In the matter of the Federal Reserve System, failure to teach the facts have misled students just as surely as the teaching of outright lies by teachers, who themselves, have been trained to believe the lies. This situation really calls for rebellion.



#### CHAPTER IV MYTHICAL EDUCATION

In the year 1774, a man named Adam Weishaupt taught that the world could be conquered by a relatively small group of men who would attack at three points: destroy Church and State and control communications among the people. Church and State began falling victim to the conspiracy with the destruction of the Russian government in 1917. Control of communications has had a far more spectacular career.

The Robber Barons had, for many years, been buying up all popular communications media including radio and TV. One of their number, John D. Rockefeller, 1st, took over the chore of dis-educating the young. Mr. Rockefeller in fact, is credited with having invented public relations, and he had some quite serious public relations problems to solve. His reputation for larcenous business dealings bothered him not—he was above popular opinion. But he overreached when he turned his murderous goon squads against the evicted, striking miners at his Ludlow, Colorado, mines. He had evicted these families in the dead of winter. The miners' union had provided tents for shelter. Then Rockefeller sent hired goon squads from the Chicago area into Ludlow and they burned out the tent city, killing more than fifty people, including many women and children. Rockefeller finally became sensitive to public opinion.

The old money-grubber numbered among his limited list of acquaintances a sometime *preacher* named Frederick T. Gates. The parson was a man keenly attuned to the foibles of human nature and when Rockefeller consulted him regarding the after-effects of the Ludlow massacre, Gates was quick to suggest that Rockefeller put his baser instincts in his pocket, so to speak, and embark on a highly publicized program of "philanthropy." Another acquaintance of these two skinflints, Ivy Lee, was brought into the picture and between them they set up, first the Rockefeller General Education Board and, later, The Rockefeller Foundation.

Rockefeller + Gates + Lee + A Tax-Free Foundation  
= Education For Ineptitude

The Ivy Lee experiment in image building was a howling success, so much so that John D. began weighing the profit, direct and indirect, to be squeezed out of a massive scheme of thought control. As a practical matter, the earlier the victim came under the influence of the professional thought controller, the easier it would be to manage him. The Rockefeller-Gates combine, operating under a "grant" from Rockefeller, which would eventually reach more than a hundred million dollars, which in the dollar value of the early 20th century was colossal, went into the brain washing business under the auspices of the Rockefeller General Education Board. They began issuing boastful newsletters, one of which, the first, in fact, has risen many times to embarrass the Rockefellers. In General Education Board Letter No. 1, they set forth a PLAN to dis-educate youth and to prepare them for life as serfs and peasants. Certainly Rockefeller had hit upon the perfect plan for preventing the rise of any more such economics students as had menaced him and his breed while the Intercollegiate Socialist Society prevailed.

One of the greatest mistakes the contemporary American makes—and he makes it regularly—is to assume that such people as the Rockefellers, and other political and civic leaders, DO NOT MEAN WHAT THEY SAY. They DO mean it, just as surely as Hitler meant what he said in Mein Kampf.

"In our dreams we have limitless resources and the people yield themselves with perfect docility to our molding hands. The present educational conventions fade from our minds, and unhampered by tradition, we work our own good will upon a grateful and responsive rural folk.

"We will not try to make these people or their children into philosophers or men of learning, or of science. We have not to raise up from among them authors, editors, poets or men of letters. We shall not search for embryo great artists, painters, musicians or lawyers, doctors, preachers, politicians, statesmen, of whom we have an ample supply.

"The task we set before ourselves is very simple as well as a very beautiful one, to train these people as we find them to a

perfectly ideal life just where they are. So we will organize our children and teach them to do in a perfect way the things their fathers and mothers are doing in an imperfect way, in the homes, in the shops and on the farms."

Read "*Constitutions Be Damned*," by Dr. B. Bruce, P. O. Box 148, Woodland Hills, California. \$2.00.

The impending World War One convinced Rockefeller that it was not going to be easy, or feasible, to attempt to "keep them down on the farm." Uncle Sam would have a better idea. Rockefeller established the General Education Board and endowed it with a sum which eventually amounted to more than a hundred million dollars, for which he soon found takers. Among The Inquiry, as the deserters from the Intercollegiate Socialistic Society and the League for Industrial Democracy, had been named by their organizer, Col. Edward M. House, were John Foster Dulles who married into the Rockefeller family, and Christian Herter who married the granddaughter of John Pratt, one of the original Rockefeller partners, and who presented to the Council on Foreign Relations the palatial Park Avenue home which is still C.F.R. headquarters. The members of The Inquiry knew exactly where to find the professors Mr. Rockefeller needed to carry his theories into the schools and universities of the nation. The Dulles Brothers and Christian Herter had been a great help.

Among the I.S.S. and L.I.D. members readily available were the former compatriots of the Dulles Brothers and Mr. Herter: Bruce Blevin at Stanford; Walter Lippman, ex-president of the Harvard Club; Walter Reuther at Wayne; Robert Morse Lovett, Chicago University; Alexander Tractenberg at Trinity; John



Spargo, Amherst; Mary Sanford at Vassar; and Eugene Debs at Columbia. All except the lady from Vassar, whose sex would have excluded her, became members of the Council on Foreign Relations, along with the Dulles Brothers and Christian Herter. In 1934, John Dewey became a vice president of the League for Industrial Democracy, and from 1927 until 1932 Dr. George S. Counts had been a professor of TEACHERS EDUCATION at Columbia. Both Dewey and Counts became members of the Council on Foreign Relations.

Over 45,000 Tax-Exempt Foundations To "Persuade" Educators.  
What Have The Personnel In Your School Received?  
What "Strings" Were Attached? Who Pays  
For The "Riotous Results" And Why?

In 1913 Mr. Rockefeller established the Rockefeller Foundation which from its inception has financed education to Mr. Rockefeller's order. In time other multi-millionaires sensing the immense benefits accruing to foundation founders, in profit, power and prestige, began establishing their own tax exempt foundations. The Council on *Foreign Relations* was one such foundation, and it has directed the activities of most of the others. The C.F.R. has within its organization a board of professional foundation trustees which provides the trustees for the majority of the tax evading foundations.

The foundation racket has grown enormously. At the last official count as revealed in a report issued by the Small Business Committee of the U.S. Congress (Patman, Chairman) the known number of tax-exempt foundations was in excess of 45,000. The stated purposes—and the ONLY LAWFUL purposes of these behemoths of finance—are education and philanthropy. Much of the "philanthropy" of the larger foundations has been directed toward the "better race relations" which are now fomenting revolution and insurrection throughout the land. The bulk of the foundation money has gone to schools, universities and professors, for SPECIFIC studies and activities. Almost every large, and favored, college or university in this country is headed by a member of the Council on Foreign Relations, as are the majority of the foundations themselves.

The C.F.R. Foundation control of public education, in addition to preventing the rise of intelligent young people who might

thus have become aware of the identities of those using the schools and universities for the advancement of their own world empire objectives, has been directed to the training of the youth for positions in "World Service." All important policy-making positions in government are held by members of this self-appointed ruling elite. *C.F.R.* They prepare the laws which a supine and incompetent three-day-a-week Congress faithfully enacts. They determine the amount of tax money to be squeezed from the producers of the nation having no foundation tax exemption. And with thousands of millions of tax dollars available to them on an annual basis, they have been diverting this money to projects which best serve the purposes of the international Council on Foreign Relations.

### What Happens When A Company, Headed By A Powerful Member Of The C.F.R. Buys The Largest Textbook Publishing Company In The World?

In 1968 the Xerox Corporation purchased from the Wesleyan University the largest textbook publishing company in the world at a price of about 54 million dollars in Xerox stock.

The head of Xerox is Mr. Sol Linowitz, one of the most powerful members of the Council on Foreign Relations. The question arises—was the purpose of this purchase by Xerox profit or propaganda or perhaps both? Certainly the purpose was not to correct the record or to begin teaching TRUTH.

Coming at a time when the Robber Barons are recruiting free labor for their overseas business complexes and the rebuilding of the cities in America which have fallen to decay as result of their many years of exploitation of the citizenry, youth would be well advised to learn ALL about "National Service," its real purpose and the identities of those who will profit.

It may be that the New Order which is being foisted upon America by stealth and propaganda is actually in the best interests of the people. If so, however, it is STILL the right of the people to have the facts and judge for themselves whether or not they desire these drastic changes.

It is not really important that Johnny and Jenny cannot read, or spell or figure. In the world *being* prepared for them they will not need such old-fashioned *accomplishments*. We will deal with world service education in a later chapter. But first we should analyze further the false teachings of the past fifty years.



## CHAPTER V

### THE DAY OF INFAMY

Just why did the United States get into World War One? The people were absolutely opposed to it. The bankers and munitions makers were screaming for our involvement. The bankers wanted assurance their foreign loans would be repaid—and they were—all of them. The munitions makers accumulated unprecedented profits from this, the biggest war of all time. Many efforts have been made for the benefit of students, to explain just why we became involved in World War One and none of such explanations really holds water. The most popular version is that the Germans attacked and sank the *Lusitania*. This ship was sunk TWO YEARS before we entered the war. It was a BRITISH ship and was loaded with munitions of war for Britian.

On the day before the sailing from New York Harbor, paid ads appeared in most of the New York papers warning American passengers against sailing on this boat, but many elected to ignore the warning. The munitions and arms aboard the *Lusitania* were those of Cleveland Dodge and his two large munitions factories. Mr. Dodge was a member of the Council on Foreign Relations from its beginning. The Germans have always claimed it was not a German U-boat which sank the *Lusitania* — and somehow the whole thing was hushed up. When the story of Pearl Harbor is told herein, the German version of what happened might become more plausible.

It is noteworthy that World War One did not begin until a few months after the United States Federal Reserve System began operations. Throughout Europe military alliances had been



formed; the world knew a holocaust was in the offing—all that was needed was the financing, and the excuse.

The assassination of the Austrian royal couple was not much of an excuse for what followed—but it was—and still is—apparent to most observers of the world scene from the rapidity with which the European governments plunged into the fray, that there had been long-time planning for just such an occasion. There is doubt as to how much the HEADS of the European powers knew about what was to happen, because of the close family relationships between the Czarina, the King of England and the Emperor of Germany, and to some extent also, their spouses. There was nothing to be gained on the part of any of these, or for their countries in such a war. Neither is there any evidence that THEY, in any way encouraged it. The war fever was generated by others who have never been exposed. As we have stated, the war broke out simultaneously with the establishment of the Federal Reserve Banks in the United States. A World War obviously, could not have been financed otherwise. The long history of the Central Banking System had been: War—Debt—Destruction.

That the Federal Reserve bankers in New York had financed the Russian Revolution has been documented by many *historians*. Congressman McFadden had become aware of it and so stated in his famous impeachment speech. The Overman Committee of the Congress held hearings and took testimony from Americans who had been long in Russia, particularly from the head of the Methodist Church in Russia, a Dr. George Simons and an official of the Y.M.C.A., who testified that the revolutionaries under Trotsky were AMERICANS, from the Lower East Side, New York.

At the time we entered the war the Czechs were moving against the Bolsheviks who had gone into St. Petersburg, and could go no farther. Long before the United States forces had fired a shot against the Germans, United States forces were in Russia trying to drive out the Czechs. Probably the best historical authority for this statement is George F. Kennan's "Decision to Intervene." It is a certainty that the student of this work can understand just WHY the Rockefeller Foundation was interested in preventing the "journalistic debunking" of World War Two, such as that which followed World War One.

## What Were You Taught Concerning Our Entry Into Previous Wars? Who Do You Think Engineered It?

The real reason "we" went into World War One was to protect the Bolshevik revolution which was planned, directed and financed by the International Bankers of the Federal Reserve System, and to enable Britain to "honor" her commitment, under the Balfour Declaration, to the Zionists whose cause was supported by the same bankers. The Allies won the war and Bolshevism was firmly established in Russia. But Britain was unable to perform on the Balfour agreement. Another war would be needed for that.

The real reason "we" went into World War Two was to enable these internationalists to ADD TO their pilot state, U.S.S.R., all Eastern Europe and to give Britain a much needed assist in fulfilling her new commitment under the Balfour Declaration.

The American people were so concerned with other and utterly unimportant things that the warlords were able also, with the skill and dedicated influence of their Institute of Pacific Relations, many of whose members occupy the highest positions in the Washington Government today, to add all mainland China to their empire. Both Russia and mainland China are part of the same World Empire. Neither is free to carry out any objectives not approved by the world powerhouse of the international Council on Foreign Relations, sometimes known as the "Bilderbergers."

The propaganda machines of press and television have not hesitated to destroy men of high principle and strong character while building up charlatans and outright scoundrels. Many of the latter flourished during the period of World Wars One and Two and despite their treachery to the United States and its citizens, have been "honored" as national heroes. If the nation is sick, it was they who made it so. The presidents who officiated at the decline and fall of the Republic were not always (if at all) acting on their own responsibilities but rather on the advice and influence of highly skilled sycophants dedicated to the cause of world government.

Perhaps the leader of this cabal was the famed "Advisor to Presidents" Bernard Baruch who advised the war presidents from Wilson to Johnson. In his book "The Public Years" he describes his operations with pride. With reference to his great insight into the coming of World War One he says (pg. 2):

"At that time, during the early years of this century, it was not common for business or professional men to leave their careers for government service . . . It was the gradual awakening of social consciousness in the early years of the new century, and the first great war, which brought many men like myself into public service. We became instruments through which a revolution, not only in the public attitude toward government but in the role of government was effected."

Mr. Baruch was held by many informed citizens to be the "top dog" in the Council on Foreign Relations powerhouse. He never conspicuously identified himself with the organization. He preferred, as he said himself, to operate in the tall grass with a long gun. The fantastic spurt in his economic position during the war years lead to the suspicion that a "social consciousness" was not the motivating factor in his long years of public "service."

President Wilson was sincerely a man of peace; he resisted to the end of his frail strength the pressures of his advisors, particularly those of his "Alter Ego," Edward M. House, and Bernard Baruch. In due time the President, acting on the erroneous information that the British ship *Sussex* had been sunk in the English Channel with more than eighty Americans aboard, went before Congress informing them that a "state of war" existed between the United States and Germany. Let it never be said that World War One was caused by poverty or ignorance. "We" got the war and Mr. Baruch as head of the War Production Board ran it for us.



## CHAPTER VI ENTER THE WARLORDS

We learn from "The Public Years" that the tycoons of industry and speculation could not wait for the United States to become committed to go to war in Europe. They had raised funds and established an Officers Training Camp at Plattsburg, New York—these CIVILIAN Warlords! Mr. Baruch says (Pg. 18):

"After luncheon, . . . I found myself sitting next to General (Leonard) Wood. We began to discuss the war in Europe and American preparedness. He told me of the citizens' military training camp at Plattsburg (Baruch had not known about this? - ed), New York. This installation was created to turn out future officers for the Army. If the camp were to be opened that year Wood said, he would have to raise \$10,000 to complete road building. When I pledged the money on the spot, General Wood was as surprised as he was grateful. The need to train officers for an impending emergency could easily be understood."

Congress apparently knew of neither an impending "emergency" nor of the need to train officers for the Army. But the Wolves of Wall Street did, and they financed a "citizens military training camp" in order that a war might be expedited once it was accepted in Washington. Mr. Baruch confides further (Pg. 20) in relating events of his railroad trip to the San Francisco Fair, and return:

"I could not turn my thoughts from the problem of preparedness. I was building, and elaborating in my mind a picture of the American economy mobilized for war. I envisaged our farms and our factories synchronized into a vast machine which, in the event of war, would have to work day and night to maintain a military force in the field, and sustain the civilian population. I saw raw materials—the products of field, forest and mine—marshalled, distributed, refined and fabricated into all the multitude of products that would be needed in such tremendous quantities. And I visualized a centralized government organization, manned by experts directing the whole vast program.

"At every opportunity I pressed my ideas and supported preparedness, even participating in a Preparedness Day parade and marched up Broadway."

Page 258 — *The Public Years*:

"I knew, too, some of the distressing facts which could not be made public. For example, for lack of a minor appropriation of three million dollars, the War Department was unable to proceed with developing badly needed gunpowder facilities. As Louis Johnson has since revealed, I offered to advance these funds, but he was unable to accept my offer."

Events leading up to World War Two followed closely the pattern of those preceding World War One. The warlords and money barons financed both sides of the European conflict while they waited for a "deal" to determine which side "we" were on. Contrary to the attitude of President Wilson, Mr. Roosevelt favored the war from the beginning and used every means at his disposal to entrap Germany into a hostile act. The German government scrupulously avoided any act which might be construed as "incident," and finally, acting upon a suggestion of the British government, he turned his attention to "the back door of Japan." The Japanese pleaded for mercy, but found in Washington a firm determination to follow policies which would lead inevitably to war.

The Council on Foreign Relations had established a splinter agency known as the Institute for Pacific Relations, and, heavily financed by Foundation money, Ford, Carnegie and Rockefeller, the I. P. R. began operating in the Pacific in cooperation with the Sorge Spy Ring, a German-Japanese group dedicated to the destruction of the Chinese government. The activities of this group eventually brought to power in Japan, the infamous Japanese War Cabinet. In time, the Government of the United States "broke" the Japanese codes and constructed decoding machines to dissect them. Just why, in view of the relationship between the Institute of Pacific Relations and the Jap War Cabinet, it would become necessary for the United States to expend any great effort in "breaking" the codes or "constructing" decoding machines is a moot question; but that is the official government story and they are "sticking" with it. Whatever the truth may be—the fact remains that—for more than a year, the Roosevelt Administration had free access to all Japanese code messages, and knowing the danger

of war, had not informed the commanders in Pearl Harbor, Hawaii, of the danger.

In 1940, the President had ordered the Pacific Fleet out of its normal safe berth at San Diego and into the open waters of Pearl Harbor, more than two thousand miles closer to Japan, where it became, as stated later, "a sitting duck" for the Jap air fleet. When the Commander, Admiral Richardson, protested, he was removed from command, and a new and able commander, Admiral Husband E. Kimmel, was appointed in his place.

Another significant move in the direction of war was the replacement of several Democratic members of the Cabinet with Republicans—thus creating a bi-partisan responsibility. Two of the Council on Foreign Relations member replacements were: Henry L. Stimson, Secretary of War, and Frank Knox, Secretary of the NAVY. The decoding machines were working overtime now, and the information received by the Roosevelt Administration indicated the necessity for stripping the Pacific Fleet of much of its defensive capacity. On November 27th, ten days before the attack, Admiral Kimmel was instructed to provide carriers to ferry 50% of the Army's pursuit planes in Hawaii, to Wake and Midway islands.

In a contributed Chapter of the great book, *Perpetual War for Perpetual Peace*, George Morgenstern reports:

"Among other things, General Short in Hawaii and other commanders were instructed: 'If hostilities cannot, repeat cannot, be avoided, the United States desires that Japan commit the first overt act.' This was a direct instruction from the President," said General Marshall.

Other instructions, couched in ambiguous language, were received by General Short on the same day; these led him to order a Sabotage Alert which would leave the planes drained of fuel and parked in close position holding them to the ground. The General promptly notified the War Department of what he had done and they took no action. Apparently General Short had read correctly the orders he had received.


In addition to the solid information regarding Japanese troop movements now in the hands of the President and his "War Cabinet," all of whom were thoroughly familiar with the situation, on



December 6th (10:40 A.M.) almost twenty-seven hours before the attack on Pearl Harbor, a message marked "Triple priority and most urgent, personal and secret to the Secretary (Hull) and the President" was delivered to the President. It read:

"British Admiralty reports that at 3 A.M. London Time, this morning, two parties seen off Cambodia Point, sailing slowly westward toward Kra 14 hours distant in time. First party 25 transports, 6 cruisers, 10 destroyers. Second Party 10 transports, 2 cruisers, 10 destroyers." This was signed by John G. Winant, Ambassador to Britain.

At this stage the Administration would have known almost to the hour the time of the attack. It was generally conceded that it would occur at sunrise. Not a hint of the coming attack had been sent to the Commanders, General Short and Admiral Kimmel at Pearl Harbor. The members of the self-styled "War Cabinet" were: President Roosevelt, Secretary of State Hull, Army Chief-of-Staff George C. Marshall, Secretary of War Henry L. Stimson, Secretary of the NAVY Frank Knox, and Chief of Naval Operations Admiral Harold R. Stark. Usually they were joined in their infamous conferences by Harry Hopkins, a sinister figure spawned by the Rockefeller philanthropy rackets. These men sat like the ghouls they were, patiently waiting for the attack on our own fleet which occurred, as they knew it would, at daybreak on the morning of December 7, 1941.



## CHAPTER VII

### "WORTH THE PRICE"—F.D.R.

The Chief of Staff absented himself from his office until nearly noon, U.S. time; then sauntered into the office to send BY COMMERCIAL WIRE, a warning to the Commanders at Pearl, which he knew would not arrive in time to permit defense of the base. It was revealed in subsequent hearings that the President had on his desk a "scrambler" telephone which would have put him in touch with the Pearl Harbor commanders within a half hour; but the Secretary and the President refused to use it.

At any rate, the "warning" arrived after the fleet had been destroyed and more than three thousand young men, honorably serving their country, had been murdered. Then the President took to the air denouncing the Japs for a "sneak attack," and offering the Nation an apology for the incompetence of the murdered men and their surviving companions, saying they had been out on the town the night before, and had been proved incompetent to man the defenses of Pearl Harbor.

The Roosevelt reaction to the news of the extent of the damage at Pearl Harbor is reported by his trusted subordinate, Jonathan Daniels:

"The blow was heavier than he (Roosevelt) had hoped it would necessarily be . . . but the risks paid off. Even the loss was worth the price."

An entry in the diary of Henry L. Stimson is revealing:

"If war did come, it was important both from the point of view of unified support of our own people, as well as for the record of history, that we should not be placed in the position of firing the first *shot*, if this could be done without sacrificing our safety; but that Japan should appear in the true role as the real aggressor.

"In spite of the risks involved however, in letting the Japanese fire the first shot, we realized that in order to have the full support of the American people it was desirable to make

sure that the Japanese be the ones to do this so that there would remain no doubt in anyone's mind as to who were the aggressors . . . When the news first came that Japan had attacked us, my first feeling was of relief that the indecision was over and that a crisis had come in a way which would unite all our people."

At least eight "official hearings" were held to determine responsibility for the Pearl Harbor disaster. The responsibility was never clearly stated. Missing witnesses, sudden illnesses, hasty assignments to distant corners of the world, and hidden or destroyed documents have made it impossible to bring the truth to the people; but in the end, responsibility was lifted from the shoulders of the Commanders of Pearl Harbor.


Among the many excellent books written on the subject are: *Perpetual War for Perpetual Peace*, in the writing of which the following eminent authors and historians participated: Harry Elmer Barnes, Charles C. Tansill, Frederick R. Sanborn, William L. Neumann, George Morgenstern, Percy L. Greaves, Jr., William Henry Chamberlain, and George A. Lundberg. The book was named by Charles Austin Beard, who died before his Chapter had been completed. Other books are: *The Final Secret of Pearl Harbor*, Admiral Robert A. Theobald, who was in Pearl Harbor when the bombs fell, and who attended every hearing—sometimes as attorney for Admiral Kimmel and at other times as an interested observer, Omni Publications, P.O. Box 216, Hawthorne, California (Price \$2.00); *Back Door to War*, Charles C. Tansill, Henry Regney Company, Chicago (\$7.00); *Pearl Harbor, The Story of the Secret War*, George Morgenstern, Devon-Adair; *Admiral Kimmel's Story*, Henry Regney Co., Chicago (Pr. \$2.50); and *Design for War*, Sanborn, Devon-Adair.

It is to be hoped that young people will thoroughly research the facts of Pearl Harbor, and having done so, will understand why their schools and colleges have never taught these facts, but rather by concealment, whether wittingly or unwittingly, have lied to them, and in doing so have deprived the youth of knowledge urgently needed to guide them in making sound decisions with regard to their political assessments. They must become informed about such men as those in the Roosevelt administration who deliberately betrayed us into a World War for their own selfish purposes. They must learn of the perfidy of the public press which has so long concealed the facts and protected the most despicable gang of

criminals in all history—not only protecting them, but palming them off on an unsuspecting populace as national heroes and men of great distinction. Unfortunately, *American youth must be prepared to accept the premise that there are in Washington today, in highest policy-making positions, men who would repeat the crime of Pearl Harbor if they or their mentors could profit thereby.* The Vietnam War of attrition for which there has been no acceptable explanation, should arouse in every prospective victim the question of just WHO is responsible and what actual result is desired. Let youth be warned: The men most closely connected with the Vietnam War are ALL members of the same Council on Foreign Relations which spawned Stimson, Knox, Starke, Marshall and the others, including Harry Hopkins. They are: Robert McNamara, Dean Rusk, Henry Cabot Lodge, Averell Harriman, General Maxwell Taylor, General Edwin Lansdale, General William Westmoreland and Ellsworth Bunker. Can anyone actually believe that this is anything but a Council on Foreign Relations war being prosecuted for the interests of the Warlords of Wall Street and Park Avenue?

Rioting and burning draft cards is not the answer to the question. The answer must be found, first, in a searching study of the FACTS of Pearl Harbor to determine for the draftee's own information, the nature of the men who have caused the loss of nearly a million young Americans in World Wars One and Two, Korea and now Vietnam. Having determined the facts, the draftee then must find ways and means of enlisting others in the same predicament with himself, to make the facts public, and then to take their case to Congress insisting that the "conspiracy" be nipped at the height of its success, and that the conspirators be rounded up and punished.

To keep this whole thing in focus it is necessary to remember that two full generations of Americans have been "educated" along lines prescribed by the World Empire builders of the Council on Foreign Relations whose minions have been planted in most, if not actually all, of the large universities. When the charge is made, as it is being made with increasing frequency, that the rebellion of youth is due to parental failure or to "permissiveness" in the home, just remember that the parents and teachers have received the same sort of miseducation—they have been taught the same lies and misinformation which has finally led to rebellion on the campus.



## CHAPTER VIII THE UNITED NATIONS

*The greatest fraud ever perpetrated in this world is the United Nations.* This infamous organization was set up by the Council on Foreign Relations as an instrument through which they would conquer and rule the world. The first seven men OFFICIALLY designated to prepare its Charter were: Sumner Welles, Isaiah Bowman, Benjamin Cohen, Hamilton Fish Armstrong, Clark Eichelberger, Leo Pasvolsky and Alger Hiss—ALL members of the Council on Foreign Relations.

When the San Francisco Conference convened, more than forty members of the Council were in attendance posing as “advisors” to the delegates. The Council on Foreign Relations created the United Nations, they have always controlled it, and they control it completely to this day. The American Ambassadors, Henry Cabot Lodge, Adlai Stevenson, Arthur Goldberg, George W. Ball, all were or are, members of the Council on Foreign Relations.

Following the San Francisco Conference, Alger Hiss flew back to Washington with the Charter, where it was polished up and presented to the United States Senate as a “TREATY.” Actually it is a CONSTITUTION for a new government—A WORLD GOVERNMENT. No copies of the Charter were in the hands of the Senators who would adopt this most momentous instrument that was ever presented to the Senate. Mr. Pasvolsky began his explanation of the “Treaty” by apologizing for not having copies for the Senators. He said there had not been time to print them up; he did not explain what was the hurry. He had come to “explain” the Charter which he proceeded to do in the devious language of the State Department. This was probably the first public demonstration of the “new-speak.” Certainly the Senate did not understand it.

The Charter itself is so soaped up with the phrase “international peace and security” that it is difficult to get the substance of it. Certainly if the Senate had understood the meaning of some of the Charter provisions they could not have been induced to accept it. The first 23 Articles of the Charter are simply “housekeeping” provisions, and are (deliberately) very boring. In Article 25 it gets down to business with a bang—but unfortunately, the bang is muffled by extension of the pertinent sentences (Article 25 is a single sentence). The last six words mean nothing, but serve to blunt the point made in the beginning. Consider Article 25:

*“Member nations agree to ACCEPT and CARRY OUT the decisions of the Security Council in accordance with the present charter.”*

This is all there is to Article 25; we have agreed unconditionally and without restriction or reservation to “accept and carry out the decisions of the Security Council”—whatever they may be. Article 24 is considerably longer than Article 25, but tucked away in its windy verbiage is a POWER OF ATTORNEY in which we agree:

*“that in carrying out its duties under this obligation the Security Council acts on their (our) behalf.”*

Of course there is the VETO in the Security Council; the veto would protect our interests. But those responsible for the UN and its Charter had no intention of being bound or restrained by the veto or anything else. ALL the power, under the Charter, was in the Security Council; there was no authority in the General Assembly. At the very first meeting of the General Assembly, however, the American Delegate, Warren Austin, informed the General Assembly that they held all powers not specifically denied them in the charter (President’s Report to the Congress on U.S. Participation in the UN, 1946).

The Security Council voted us into the Korean War without the consent of the Soviet Delegation. This was a clear violation of the Charter, as the World Court later decreed. Then in an effort to legalize the illegal action and to establish a pattern for future operations, the late John Foster Dulles appeared before a General Assembly meeting with a thing facetiously described as “The Uniting for Peace Resolution.” This resolution simply extended the unlimited authority of the Security Council to the General Assembly, if adopted by a two-thirds vote of the General Assembly. Article 25 should have been amended to read:

"Member nations agree to accept and carry out the decisions of the Security Council and the General Assembly. . . ."

The "Uniting for Peace Resolution" was a more flagrant violation of the Charter than the decision of the Security Council to go to war with North Korea had been.

The "Uniting for Peace" resolution turned out to be the nose in the tent, for since the adoption of the illegal resolution, the General Assembly has proceeded to exercise unlimited authority in all fields of endeavor; it has established the United Nations as a World Government. The "decisions" of the Security Council and General Assembly are set forth in the form of "resolutions." Such resolutions, adopted by a two-thirds majority of the members, become LAW OF THE WORLD; and all heads of State are bound thereby. Once the resolution is adopted, it is sent down to the head of a member state who is bound by treaty agreement, to put it into effect within its borders.

This proposition was stated rather succinctly by Mr. Roberto Ago in a speech to the San Francisco Conference in celebration of the 20th anniversary of the United Nations. Mr. Ago is a REAL authority on the United Nations; he is Chairman of the UN International Law Commission and Past President of the World Federation of the United Nations Organizations. Mr. Ago said:

*"The activities of these organizations usually take one of two forms. The first method by which an international organization penetrates into the domestic life of a sovereign State is through the channel of the law. It does so by LEGALLY BINDING ALL OF ITS MEMBERS TO TAKE WITHIN THEIR OWN BORDERS steps agreed upon by the majority (in the General Assembly—ed)." (Caps added)*

In 1945, with just two exceptions, Senator Langer and Senator Shipstead, almost the entire Senate signed the UN Charter as a "Treaty"—the only nation in the world to accept it as a Treaty—thus making it take precedence over our Constitution as the law of the land. Under our Constitution, the United States can enter into "Treaties" only with Sovereign Nations—The UN is not even a nation—it is nothing but a group of agents of nations, with a few Free Nations and a large number of headhunting and cannibal tribes, whom the UN has made into "Nations," and has given each one a vote equal to our one vote. Any law handed down

from the UN for our Congress to pass is unconstitutional, and should be repealed.

Every day of life for the UN brings the U.S. a day closer to death! We must get the U.S. out of the UN.

#### REVIEW OF THE UNITED NATIONS CHARTER

289

California, April 24, 1950


The efforts of our government in this regard reached fruition in the convention of representatives of the nations of the earth at which the Charter of the United Nations was adopted. It was promptly ratified by the Senate of the United States, thereby proclaiming allegiance to its principles and providing precedent and example for other countries. The United States has consistently regarded its treaties with other nations as inviolate.

The Charter has become "the supreme Law of the Land; and the Judges in every State shall be bound thereby, any Thing in the Constitution or Laws of any State to the Contrary notwithstanding." U. S. Const., Art. VI, sec. 2. The position of this country in the family of nations forbids trafficking in innocuous generalities but demands that every State in the Union accept and act upon the Charter according to its plain language and its unmistakable purpose and intent.

Since the Charter is now the supreme law of the land . . .

The above is a copy of an official U.S. Senate report entitled:

#### REVIEW OF THE UNITED NATIONS CHARTER SUBCOMMITTEE ON THE UNITED NATIONS CHARTER



## CHAPTER IX

### UN COMMANDS BOTH SIDES IN KOREA

Before moving on to a discussion of the legal aspects of the United Nations and its Charter, we must pause to examine the methods employed in the conduct of the Korean War. The war was decreed under Article 39 of the Charter, which provides that the Security Council (NOT CONGRESS) shall determine the existence of any act of aggression and "shall decide what measures shall be taken." Having decided that North Korea was an aggressor, Article 42 of the Charter, providing for the use of military force was invoked. The UN then called upon the United States to provide the armed forces necessary and to conduct the war IN THE FIELD. This was in accordance with Article 43 which provides that:

*"Member nations agree . . . to make available to the Security Council ON ITS CALL, armed forces, assistance and facilities . . ."*

**Tell Your Opponent Each Move to Be Used and the  
Deployment of Your Forces and Location of All  
Equipment—Then Go Out and Fight to Win!  
It Takes a Little Longer This Way.**

This being a United Nations War, command headquarters was in the United Nations where the Soviet permanent member of the Security Council headed the Military Secretariat. To date

there have been ten commanders in the small military Secretariat; nine have been Russians and one a Jugoslav "communist." At the time the Security Council decided on the Korean War the Chairman of the UN Military Staff Committee was one Lt. General Alexandre Ph. Vasiliev. He promptly took a leave of absence from his UN post and went to North Korea where he commanded all Chinese Communist movements across the 38th Parallel. (See U.S. DEPARTMENT BULLETIN NO. 442A dated August 3, 1957. See also VICTORY DENIED, Roberts, Chas. Hallberg & Co., Chicago, pr. \$1.00.)


Here were American troops fighting a UN war while the Chairman of the UN Military Staff Committee was directing the enemy troops fighting the UN forces. Furthermore, the Russian Sobolev (and later Zinchenko) would receive the reports from the battlefield and direct the course of the war. Strangely enough, the American delegate in the UN offered a resolution in the Security Council guaranteeing sanctuary to the enemy across the Yalu, and the Russian delegate vetoed it. It did not mean anything, however, for the sanctuary went into effect and remained in effect until the end of the war.

It is necessary that the perfidy of the United Nations be thoroughly understood, in order that the youth now in rebellion may understand the type of public officials who are responsible for our wars and the absolute contempt they hold for young lives. Both the Washington Government and the United Nations are controlled by the same Warlords of Wall Street and Park Avenue; the same men or their descendants who brought on the rebellion of the Intercollegiate Socialist Society so long ago. These are the men who, as we shall see, manipulated the public educational system in the direction of preparing young people for unpaid service in the World Empire of their dreams. The Peace Corps, for example, was a successful experiment in that direction. Young people will go willingly, leave their homes, travel to distant countries, perform distasteful services without recompense—and their parents will permit it.

Refer to letter to Congressman James A. Haley from Douglas MacArthur, II (a relative of General Douglas MacArthur but by no means of the same political persuasion.)

See inside of back cover.





## CHAPTER X PRE-INVESTMENT CAPITAL

The story of the build-up of the world empire of the international cartelists with American taxpayers' money is truly astounding. Nothing short of tight communications control could have concealed, not only from the public, but from the Congress itself, the "pre-investment capital" structure, on two continents, for the exploitation of Africa and Asia.

Under the provisions of the United Nations Charter as interpreted by United Nations officials at the end of World War Two, the colonial powers would be obliged to pull out of their colonies; there would be "self determination" among the peoples of the world. The people of the colonial powers, some of whom, including France, were heavily dependent on the income from these territories; and at the end of a long and expensive war, the French in particular, but to lesser extent England, Holland and Belgium, would make it most unpleasant for a government informing them that they would have to get out of the colonies. The Marshall Plan, administered by Gunar Myrdal—top United Nations representative—paid off. The UN got control of the colonies.

In order to get the empire building under way, it had become necessary to **BUY OFF** the colonial powers. At the time General George Catlett Marshall was at the height of his propagandized popularity, hence the "pay-off" would be conducted as the so-called "Marshall Plan." The French government was to have a serious problem in persuading the people to surrender French Indo China. The French government, like all other UN member governments, could not explain that having joined the United Nations they had committed themselves to unquestionable acceptance of

United Nations discipline, and so France was permitted to engage in a losing war in Southeast Asia, as a cover-up for the United Nations de-colonization decree.

In the other colonial territories insurrection and revolution broke out, and the colonial powers gradually withdrew, leaving the new "governments" in the hands of natives who could easily be controlled or replaced. The whole operation went off smoothly, oiled generously with "Marshall Plan" money. Before it was over the colonial powers were wealthier without the colonies than they had ever been with them.

Next, the government in Washington turned its attention to foreign aid. They began to pour the earnings of American producers into the continents of Asia and Africa and to lesser extent into South America. This government then mortgaged the earnings of future generations of producers, and exhausted the credit of those now creating wealth, to be squandered in building a world empire for exploitation by the billionaire cartels and monopolies dominated by the international financiers.

The communications media, and the school textbooks have cleverly implanted in the mass mind of the United States that this "aid" money was spent to feed, clothe, educate and in general, to "help people help themselves." **NOTHING** could be further from the truth. The various agencies of the United Nations International Labour Organizations, the Food and Agriculture Organization, UNESCO—carried out these projects. The foreign "aid" money went into the various UN banks to be checked out by UN officials and spent for building empire, roads, railroads, dams, pipelines, power plants, docks, hotels, steel mills, cement plants and many other types of industrial construction. The cartelists and monopolists, particularly those in the United States, began consolidating their interests at home and building enormous plants abroad in anticipation of the "free trade" which they were even then advocating; and which had been decreed by the United Nations through UNCTAD, and later presented to unwary Congress under the appealing title "The Kennedy Round."

The vast wealth of Asia and Africa had never been exploited because of the prohibitive cost of preparing the sub-structure. But now, in the sweet name of charity, the U.S. Government had been enabled to tax the American people for the financing of the project; the cartelists refer to "our" investment as "pre-investment capital."

When WE have made sufficient investment, THEY will move in to capitalize on it. This operation is already in progress. In 1964 the President appointed a "committee of distinguished citizens," headed by David Rockefeller, and including, among others, Sol Linowitz of Xerox Corporation, and Thomas J. Watson of I.B.M., as a sort of Board of Directors to supervise the launching of the industrialization of Africa, and at a later date he, the President, appointed a similar group, headed by Eugene Black, vice president of the Rockefeller Chase Manhattan Bank and formerly head of the United Nations World Bank, to launch the industrialization of all Asia.

From time to time, Senator Jacob Javits takes to the floor of the Senate to boast of the world-wide investment corporations created by his friends, David Lillienthal, Lazard Freres, the international cartelists organized by Javits and known as the Rockefellers' I B E C; the operations of various other behemoths of industry: IBM World Trade Corporation, Mack Trucks World-wide Ltd., National Cash Register, Remington Rand, Republic Aluminum, Chrysler, Fairbanks Morse, and several hundred others.

The fabulous "pre-investment capital" structure built with taxpayers' money and borrowed money, which will be the obligation of the youth now rebelling on campus, had been erected with little objection from the producers of the United States deluded by the fictitious prosperity of perpetual war for perpetual peace (and prosperity). But there is a serious and rapidly mounting LABOR problem. Millions of skilled or partially skilled workers are needed in Asia and Africa, and if the cartelists are to achieve their objectives under The Kennedy Round, costs of production must be kept low if they are to compete with the few manufacturers and industrialists still in business in the United States.




## CHAPTER XI A CONSCRIPT LABOR BATTALION



It is impossible to come forth openly with a proposal that young men and women be DRAFTED into servitude in the jungles of Africa and Asia and renounce claim to recompense; the youth might be persuaded that they "owe a service to the world," but parents, generally, are not going to agree. The cartelists, however, on the basis of long experience, know that the American people can be "gradualized" into anything. Plans had been formulated many years ago, and in 1951 they were partially formalized by *Senate Bill No. 1*, also known as the Marshall-Rosenberg Bill. This Bill provides for eventual NON-Military compulsory service for all 18-year-olds who could not qualify for limited duty under Universal Military Training.

The two-generation course in "World Citizenship" has achieved its purpose and is about to be closed out along with the colleges and universities which directed it. The trial runs of Peace Corps, VISTA, and other similar experiments have revealed the strengths and weaknesses in the scheme to raise immense labor battalions for the exploitation of Africa, Asia, and South America. That the "world citizenship" education has been found satisfactory and enforceable is illustrated by the gigantic program for recruitment now being carried forth through the United States Department of Labor behind a "front" known as National Service Secretariat (1629 K St., N.W., Washington, D.C.). The whole Progressive Education scheme had been directed toward preparing one generation of parents and another generation of students for the ultimate fate of involuntary servitude, the obligation of "service to the world," with no suggestion or hint that the PROFIT from this service will accrue only to a few hundred families—a new world-wide elite.





## CHAPTER XII

### MORE "DRAMA" COMING UP

The plan for international recruitment of labor has its origin in Article 55 of the United Nations Charter. The United Nations claims a MANDATE to implement every provision of the charter and under Article 55 they are obliged to create "FULL EMPLOYMENT" for everybody in the world. The various publications of United Nations agencies, particularly those of International Labor Organization and UNCTAD (United Nations Conference on Trade and Development) have, for more than a year, been calling for "an international redistribution of labor." They are serious about this. The United Nations has proclaimed 1969 as WORLD-WIDE EMPLOYMENT YEAR; and it is to be assumed that the "celebration" of Full Employment Year will be at least as dramatic as the celebration of International Human Rights Year (1968) has been. Marked as it was by riots, insurrection, revolution and assassination.

The riots and insurrections of 1968 are laying a foundation for the implementation of the Fair Employment Practices provisions of the Civil Rights legislation of 1964. The demand for "jobs" rising from Resurrection City will "force" Congress to enact legislation compelling employers to "hire" and train the "poor" even if it requires the discharge of long-time employees to accomplish the purpose. The displaced workers then can be recruited into a working force for Asia and Africa. At present the recruit-

ment is on a volunteer basis—but the National Service plan now in effect calls for the DRAFTING of labor when the volunteer plan slacks off.

Between the years 1962 and 1965 the General Assembly adopted a series of resolutions calling for the "intensification" of Human Rights activities in preparation for a master demonstration in 1968 which had been designated as International Human Rights Year. Heads of State, world-wide, were directed to implement these resolutions and to report their progress periodically to Secretary General of the United Nations. There was ordered a world-wide insurrection which was to DRAMATIZE Human Rights Year. Radio and television networks were to be brought into the act (See G. A. Resolution No. 2081) in an all-out effort to get world-wide publicity.

The late Dr. Martin Luther King had arranged the Shantytown spectacular which eventually did go into action in Washington. There is some doubt that this was sufficient to justify world-wide publicity. The assassination of Dr. King was and DID. By the terms of Resolution No. 2081, a International Conference was to be held in 1968 to determine what action should be taken next. The Conference has been held and the determination made, 1969 will be a Full Employment Year accompanied by a demand by the International Labor Organization and UNCTAD for "an international redistribution of labor." These "decisions" of the United Nations agencies MUST BE CARRIED OUT by all heads of government in the "Member States" — and, at least in the United States, they will be. It may require a third World War to get the desired action, but that would require no more than an extension of the Vietnam War.

It is hoped that this pamphlet will generate a spirit of wariness among young men and women marked for "Service to the World." Before discussing the recruitment now going forward through schools and colleges, let us refer to an article published in the February, 1968, issue of *Nation's Business*, which was inserted into the Congressional Record of May 27th, 1968, by Hon. Richard T. Hanna of California:

"It is almost axiomatic in history that businessmen and traders follow behind the bayonets and bullets . . . Certainly creation of trade and commerce has not been the motive of war-



fare (??? -ed) but . . . the reappraisals that come with peace inevitably seed the fields for business opportunities.

"Now another such opportunity is near—a time when business will tap the rich expanses of SOUTHEAST Asia . . . As the military situation in Viet Nam improves, they (businesses) expect the flow of trade to double, triple, and quadruple . . .

"The Administration's actions to improve our balance of payments by restricting dollar investments abroad were not to reduce investments in less developed areas . . . Today we are seeing the development of *multinational* companies after we have already witnessed the development of vertically integrated and diversified companies . . . The American businessman moving into the Vietnam market is protected 100% by the federal government against expropriation, inconvertibility of currency and war risk . . .

"The Rand Corporation has gone into the prospects of getting profits out of Vietnam and says: 'Many of the new investment projects launched within the past five years experienced rates of return of . . . 20 to 40 per cent; and a capital recovery in two or three years has not been unusual . . .'

"In addition, the federal government is one of the MAJOR (?-ed) contributors to two projects which are expected to go a long way toward making Southeast Asia a healthy place for American business."

Query—Who said America is not colonizing all over the world? The publication which carried the article from which we have quoted has given us an excellent opportunity to gauge the true purpose of the war in Vietnam—and it isn't fighting "communists." The story continues:

"Looking at the general conduct of industrial activity in Vietnam today, we do not get the impression that this activity is directly hampered by the war. Industrial installations appear to operate with interruption. . . . What they (Vietnamese investors) want, is to form partnerships with the Americans supplying managerial and technical expertise (and investment guarantees-ed). The Governor of the Vietnamese National Bank said recently, 'Don't send me your money; send me people who know how to do things.'"

The article refers also to the thousands of young Vietnamese who are now engaged in industrial enterprise while Americans do their fighting. This is held to be all right, since those so trained and skilled will be on hand to work for the government financed cartelists who will give the war-torn nation a royal rooking.

The Vietnam war has been used as a testing ground for the new labor draft plan. It has been estimated that from twenty to eighty percent of the military men now in Vietnam are not engaged in fighting; they are rendering their "service to the world" by "*pacification*," in fields of teaching, construction, agriculture, nursing, and other "non-military" occupations. The average American sees nothing wrong about DRAFTING men for non-military service in Vietnam in war time—hence they will little note the abdication of their liberties when the DRAFT for world-wide service is forced upon them. Finally, if they can be drafted into non-military commercial service, as the program expands, the National Service Secretariat expects to "recruit" 4,000,000 *this year*; colleges and universities will be forced to close unless a scheme is devised for bringing in the world's illiterates and educating them for their places in "world service."

The National Service Secretariat began its career with the appointment by the President (May, 1966) of a "Committee of distinguished citizens" for the purpose of making a study and report on recommendations for a new draft law. The Committee knew what the President had in mind; the President, Vice President, Secretaries of State, Labor, and others had been testing public opinion regarding a draft for all boys and girls for "national service." In due time the study and report were completed and presented to the President under the title: *A Profile for National Service*. The President received the report, commended the Committee, and appointed them to serve on a permanent basis to carry forth the recommendations submitted.


This group promptly incorporated under the name: National Service Secretariat, and, heavily financed by at least five of the largest foundations, began issuing a news-letter which sets forth in reasonably straight-forward language, the purposes and progress of the new draft plan. The plan calls for the recruitment—voluntary and otherwise—of all boys and girls between the ages of 18 and 26. Young mothers are not excluded, for, as the Committee report states it—(pg. 42), "the hovering maternal policeman is not the ideal guardian of her child's psyche."

The present term of service recommended by the Secretariat is four years; two of which will be served overseas, and two years in the "slums and ghettos." Among the "tasks" to which the draftee will be assigned are (pg. 26).

1. *Emergency Relief Organization*
2. *Technical Assistant Overseas*
3. *Medical Assistant Overseas*
4. *Reforestation Work U.S.A.*
5. *Agricultural Assistant Overseas*
6. *Teaching Overseas*
7. *Study of a Foreign Language*
8. *Teaching Assistant U.S.A.*
9. *"Guinea Pig" in Medical Research*

It may be assumed that if the draftee rejects service in "tasks" 5 or 8, for example, he will be re-classified to Task 9.

A tremendous drive for volunteers for "national service" is going forth in the colleges and universities, most of which, together with their professors, have been subsidized with "grants" from the same foundations which are now financing the National Service Secretariat. In order to get around Congress and prevent Congress from interfering with the recruitment, it has been suggested by the Secretariat that compulsory education be extended for an additional two years in order that two years be spent in "learning by doing" overseas.



In a previous chapter, we have discussed the manner in which the United Nations involved us in the Korean War, and of the treacherous sellout of American forces in that war; while a UN military official led the troops of Chinese, fighting Americans recruited into the United Nations war. Let us now examine the facts about our involvement in Vietnam and the PURPOSE of that involvement.

The ruthless and brutal disregard for the lives of our young people is in no way better illustrated than in our involvement in numerous international treaties, NATO, SEATO, CENTO, OAS, and about forty others. These treaties commit the United States to send young Americans to war all over the globe, to fight other nations' battles and to occupy and build up the nations of the world. It was our "commitment" in Vietnam which resulted in all-out war there for no discernible reason.

Now, from its very first meeting in 1946, and in every meeting since that time, the General Assembly has condemned the racial policies of South Africa, the richest territory in the world, and of Rhodesia, another very rich territory. The monopolists of the United Nations are determined to have that wealth. When the French moved out of Indo-China, member agents of the Council on Foreign Relations sought out Diem, who was in exile in New York State, and induced HIM TO RETURN TO South Vietnam, and to ask for United States aid in fighting "Communism."

The Washington government had a problem. The people of the United States are never told of an unpopular directive of the United Nations; hence the government could not openly invoke Articles 53 and 54 of the UN Charter, to commit our armed forces as regional agencies under direction of the Security Council; neither

could they openly commit more than 1,000 "advisers." The UN Participation Act forbade that. So, "we" just committed a small force, and waited—as the Government had done at Pearl Harbor time—for something to happen.

On August 4, 1964, something happened in Tonkin Gulf. The President induced Senator Fullbright to PUSH THROUGH a badly worried and misinformed Congress, that infamous Tonkin Gulf Resolution, authorizing the President to take any action he desired in Southeast Asia. The Congress had been assured that some little PT boats had been firing on our large destroyers in Tonkin Gulf. But some 15,000 fatalities later, Congress had reason to doubt the whole story; about all that is known about it now is that the President had the Tonkin Gulf resolution in his pocket for a week before the "incident."

However, within a week of the approval of the resolution, the United Nations commissioned the Carnegie ENDOWMENT for International Peace, no less, to prepare a WAR PLAN for a UN invasion of South Africa. The plan was released in the Spring of 1965, under the title "Apartheid and United Nations Collective Measures." The war plan called for a blitz invasion with forces of "one of the two great powers." Inasmuch as the Soviet Union has never committed its forces to a UN war, the power to be called upon would be the United States.

Following the approval of the Tonkin Gulf Resolution, United States forces, and much faulty equipment, were poured into South Vietnam, while a mountain of first class military hardware was transported to Thailand. Keeping in mind that the Carnegie Plan called for a blitz invasion, it must be obvious that no such invasion could be launched from the United States; both men and equipment must be transported much closer to the scene of operations. Finally, on October 27th, 1966, the United Nations adopted a General Assembly Resolution calling for forces to take over South-west Africa.

The Congress became informed of the action, and rose up in rebellion. The resolution still stands, and somehow MUST be implemented. If the U.S.S. *Liberty* had gone down with all aboard under Israeli attack, the Egyptians, who had broken relations with the United States a few days before, would certainly have been blamed, with the probability of immediate war with Egypt. Egypt, of course, is in Africa—conveniently located for another

"incident." Neither the Vietnam War nor the attack on the *Liberty* has been explained in acceptable fashion. In light of this failure to explain, on the part of the Washington government, the sophisticated citizen is entitled to doubt, to question, and to form conclusions based on any evidence available to him. The Pearl Harbor treachery, covered up and protected by government and communications facilities, should serve as warning to practical people, and especially the youth of the nation, whose lives are at the disposal of power-mad conspirators who have run out of explanations.

On all sides we hear the challenge to PROVE that the Vietnam War is a UN war—or that the UN has anything to do with it—except to OPPOSE it. "Come, let us reason together." If the United Nations is opposed to the war, and believe, as they say they do, that the United States is an aggressor in that war, WHY does not the UN CONDEMN the United States for its aggression and order the U.S. to cease and desist? Why, indeed? Is not that the plainly stated obligation of the United Nations under its charter? Are we to believe that the United States, because of its wealth and power, is above the law, exempt from United Nations Charter provisions or resolutions of the Security Council or General Assembly?

The government in Washington has repeatedly asked the United Nations Security Council to rule on this question, and to take over the Vietnam situation, which, under its Charter, the UN is obliged to do; but the Council on Foreign Relations-controlled United Nations has consistently refused to do so. It is this unexplainable avoidance of its responsibility that points up the UN support of the Vietnam War. Under Article 53 of the Charter, a regional agency may take military action ONLY UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF THE SECURITY COUNCIL. SEATO (South East Asia Treaty Organization) is a regional agency; therefore, any military action taken by the United States must be under the Security Council authority. And under Article 54, all activities taken, or IN CONTEMPLATION, must be reported promptly to the Security Council.

A Florida veteran, keenly aware of the Russian position as Member of the Big Five (the permanent members), wrote his Congressman, inquiring whether the United States is reporting actions in the field to the Security Council, in accordance with

Article 54 of the charter. His inquiry was forwarded to the State Department for reply, and the following is quoted from the State Department letter:

" . . . regarding the meeting of our obligations UNDER ARTICLE 54 of the Charter of the United Nations with respect to Vietnam. The Government of the United States has informed the Security Council promptly and fully of all our major activities in Vietnam."

So "we" are then operating under the provisions of Articles 53 and 54, and filing reports of measures taken, and in contemplation, with the Security Council, where the information becomes available to the Russian heading the MILITARY SECRETARIAT on a permanent basis in the Council.

Surely, somewhere along the gory road of perpetual war, the youth of the nation will break free of the shackles of lying propaganda and begin to do some solid thinking for themselves. Perhaps, then, they will see that the military setup in the United Nations was an absurdity from the beginning; that when two nations were at war, a combatant having a friend or two in the Security Council could obtain complete information on the battle plans of his opponent's forces in the field, and relay the information, as was done in the Korean War, to the opposing commander.

Here we are in Vietnam, presumably fighting "communists," while all information of activities undertaken and in contemplation, are relayed "promptly and fully" to the Security Council, where the Russian Delegate has free access to them. It must be apparent, from any serious consideration of the ENORMOUS casualty list from Vietnam in view of the smaller number of men actually engaged in combat (the majority being engaged in "pacification"), that the the enemy has an undisclosed advantage over the SEATO forces. Informed citizen know that, all too late, we were informed, through testimony given before the Jenner Committee of the United States Senate, what the government, the United Nations, and the press had scrupulously concealed from us during the Korean War: that the precise rules which applied in Korea apply now in Vietnam. Tens of thousands of young men were drafted into a United States Army, turned over to a United Nations Army, and ruthlessly slaughtered in a "limited" war.

At this time there are approximately 50,000 Americans wasting their precious young lives guarding a dividing line in Korea—

still soldiers in a United Nations Army—awaiting the signing of a peace treaty fifteen years after the shooting stopped. Now, we find a United States ship, the *Pueblo*, captured by the North Koreans, who are officially at war with the United Nations, almost within hailing distance of the 50,000 Americans under UN command. This American force has an abundance of the finest military equipment anywhere in use. YET THEY WERE NEITHER PERMITTED TO GO TO THE RESCUE OF THE *PUEBLO*—nor is there any suggestion in Washington that the Government will act. The United Nations is handling the matter, and our ship and her crew remain the property of the North Koreans. This is "International Peace and Security"?

. . . LATER . . .

We can now report that after holding the *Pueblo* and her crew captive for eleven months, the crew was released a few days before Christmas, 1968. These service men had been subjected to brutality and atrocities up until FOUR DAYS BEFORE THEIR RELEASE. Many of them still carried OPEN WOUNDS upon their return. This would indicate that the North Korean Government had not intended to release the men; otherwise they would have tried to fatten them up, heal their wounds, and return them in the best possible physical condition.

The WASHINGTON GOVERNMENT had, in accordance with their treaty commitment under Article 51 of the UN Charter, turned full responsibility for the crew of the *Pueblo* over to the United Nations—which IGNORED the return of these men. The Secretary of State stated that he was surprised at the release, and did not know how it was accomplished.

A Naval Court of Inquiry will "investigate"—and perhaps file an honorable report, as it apparently did in the case of the *Liberty*. The State Department promptly suppressed the report on the *Liberty*, later releasing as much of it as the Department saw fit to release.

Unless the American people, the prospective draftees and their parents, are prepared to take advantage of this situation and DEMAND open Congressional hearings on the whole subject, they must become reconciled to a continuation of the program of destruction, and be prepared to pay for it with their own lives and the lives of their sons—and perhaps those of their daughters.

From the beginning of the *Pueblo* "incident," it has been the policy of the U.S. Government to "FORGET THE *PUEBLO*." WHY? The known circumstances of the deployment of the *Pueblo* into Korean waters, whether within legal bounds or not, in the absence of any explanation from Washington, leaves the citizen free to draw his own conclusions. The conclusion of this writer is based largely on the revelations of Major George Racey Jordan's Diaries—the conclusion that the *Pueblo* was outfitted especially for the USE OF THE SOVIET UNION—and the dispatch of the *Pueblo* to the North Korean area was the means selected for the delivery of the vessel. At any rate, the Soviet Union got possession of the lavishly equipped *Pueblo*, and Washington is, at this time, making no discernible effort to secure its return—nor could they do so if they were so disposed. They complied with their "solemn treaty commitment"; they have turned the whole matter over to the United Nations.



## CHAPTER XIV MONOPOLISTIC WORLD ORDER

The "NEW WORLD ORDER" was planned by those who intend to control it. Never have these power-mad men intended a "democratic" form of world government. That would have been an utter impossibility; but had it been possible, it would have destroyed the wealth and power of the monopoly "capitalists" who control the world now through an interlocking control of the Central Banking Systems. Therefore, those who would rebel against "the system" must, of necessity and in their own defense, rebel against the Money and Credit Monopoly of the Central Banking Systems. Students unwilling (or afraid) to rebel against life-long exploitation by the Money Trust, waste time and effort in rebelling against anything else. Face to face, as we are now, with the collapse of the system—AND a new swindle, under the auspices of the United Nations International Monetary Fund—a decision must be made NOW!

Surely young America, contemplating the fabulous wealth created by its forebears, must realize that under "the system" they have neither received their share, nor have they hope of ever receiving it. Recognition of this same condition brought about the First (and, unfortunately, abortive) Rebellion initiated by the Intercollegiate Socialist Society.

The first move of rebellious students now should be a study in depth of the Federal Reserve System and its incredibly criminal operations. A mere 50 hours of study and research should provide a reasonably clear understanding of the subject. Recommended reading:

"Federal Reserve Conspiracy," Mullins, Christian Education Association, 530 Chestnut Street, Union, N. J., Price \$1.00.

"Economic Tribulation," V. C. Vickers, Omni Publications, Box 216, Hawthorne, Cal. Price, \$2.00.

"The Federal Reserve Bank," H. S. Kenan, [from author] Ocala, Florida, Price \$1.00.

There are numerous other books on the subject, most of them long and technical. These three cover the field in capsule form.

A general public awareness should develop—which would lead to a determination as to the highly questionable legality of the Federal Reserve System from the beginning.

If it is found to be illegal, then the unpayable debts foisted upon the people should be repudiated; the control of the money and credit of the United States restored to a chastened Congress; all those guilty of fraudulent activities should be rounded up and punished, and their ill-gotten gains restored to the public treasury.

In closing, it can be said that V. C. Vickers is the only international cartel known to this writer who really suffered pangs of conscience in connection with his previous operations. He had long been a Board Member of the family Munitions Monopoly, and also a member of the Board of Governors of the Bank of England—the Central Bank of England. He says (page 51):

“But democracy is in danger for the very reason that democratic government itself is subservient to the sectional interests which control finance and which have it in their power to inflict a financial crisis upon the nation should they anticipate legislation inimical to their own particular interests.

“Such are the economic conditions which are declared to be unavoidable and which only circumstances can in time eradicate. The time has come when we must create those circumstances and change these adverse economic conditions; for until this is done, WAR and the MENACE OF WAR will continue to hover over us. . . .”

Youth in rebellion now truly has “ALL” to nobly gain or meanly lose. WHICH SHALL IT BE?

*Finis*



THE RIGHT TARGET!



**THE TALE OF THE GUINEA PIGS ..... 50**

1. Information and guidance for young America.
2. The Plan suggested for the drafting and deployment of the youth for "National Service" overseas.
3. Any draftee resisting service is likely to be classified as a Guinea Pig for medical research.

**THE PANDORA'S BOX OF PRATT HOUSE ..... 60**  
(*Home of the C F R*)

1. Where our gold is going!
2. What will happen to your business under UNCTAD.
3. How the institutions of higher learning and their professors have been coerced into conformity.

**TWENTIETH CENTURY SNOW JOB ..... 75**

1. Danger of controlled news to propaganda-drugged people.
2. International Establishment operating through UN . . . its reasons for wars.
3. Why South Africa's wealthiest favor "one man—one vote."
4. Plan to enlist American youth in war to save "Tiny Israel."
5. Managed "incidents" to trap U.S. into foreign wars.

**INTERNATIONAL PEACE AND SECURITY ..... 50**  
An analysis and explanation on the United Nations Charter and how it affects you . . . an excellent study book.

**THE SECRET GOVERNMENT ..... 1.00**  
(Now in its sixth printing)

An expose of the secret policy-making groups of the Invisible Government who actually make and control political and economic policy in Washington.

The story behind our foreign relations . . . a membership list of the Council on Foreign Relations and Business Advisor group . . . Open Letters to the United States Senators.

**FACTS ABOUT PEARL HARBOR ..... 20**

A group of letters written by Admiral Husband E. Kimmel, commander of the Fleet at Pearl Harbor, explaining the truth about the attack on Pearl Harbor.